TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: NE

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR I	FEDERAL AS		2. DATE SUBMITTED 7/13/2005	APP	LICANT IDENTIFIER
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:		3	B. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	STA	TE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER
Application Construction Non-Construction	Pre-applica Const Non-C	l .	. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	FED	ERAL IDENTIFIER
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION	ON				
Legal Name: State of Nebraska			Organizational Unit: NE Dept of Health & Human Services		
Address (give city, county, s 301 Centennial Mall South PO Box 95044 Lincoln, NE 68509 County: Lancaster		,	Name and telephone number of the person application (give area code) Name: Richard Raymond, Director Regulatel Number: (402) 471-8566	ation & Li	censure
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICA 4 7 0 4	9 1 2	3 3	7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (Enter appropriate A. State H. Independent School I B. County I. State Controlled Institute C. Municiple J. Private University D. Township K. Indian Tribe E. Interstate L. Individual F. Intermuniciple M. Profit Organization G. Special District N. Other (Specify)	District	,
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:			9 NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administ	ration, Ma	nternal and Child Health Bureau
New Continuation If Revision, enter appropriate A. Increase Award B. Decrease Awa Decrease Duration Other (specify):	e letter(s) in box(ord C. Increase Durati	ion			
10. CATALOG OF FEDERA NUMBER:	L DOMESTIC AS	SISTANCE	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S NE Title V/MCH Block Grant	PROJEC	T:
9 3 9 9 TITLE: Maternal and Child 12. AREAS AFFECTED BY states, etc.): Statewide					
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:			14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:		
Start Date: 10/01/2005	Ending Date: 09/30/2006		a. Applicant one, two, three	b. Projec one, two	
	4,167,938.00 0.00 2,370,000.00 765,921.00 0.00 7,303,859.00 KNOWLEDGE AN JLY AUTHORIZE	a. YES, THIS PR ORDER 12372 DATE: b. NO PROOF OR PI 17. IS THE APPLI Yes. If "Yes", ID BELIEF, ALL D. ED BY THE GOVE!	ION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECTED BY STATE EXECTED BY E.O. 12372 ROGRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E.O. 12372 ROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY SELECTED B	STATE FO	R REVIEW
a. Typed Name of Authorize			b. Title		c. Telephone Number
Richard Raymond, M.D. d. Signature of Authorized R			Director, Regulation & Licensure		(402) 471-8566 e. Date Signed
					5 a.o 5.god

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

MCH BUDGET	FORM 2 DETAILS FOR FY 2006		
	4 (d) and 505(a)(3)(4)]		
S	TATE: NE		
FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:		\$	4,167,938
A.Preventive and primary care for children:			
\$1,290,387 (30.96%)			
B.Children with special health care needs:			
\$ 1,375,431 (33 %) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the	ne application)[Sec. 505(a)(3)]		
C.Title V admininstrative costs:	10 application/[000.000(a)(0)]		
\$ 200,000 (4.8 %) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]			
(The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]			
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)		\$	0
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)		\$	2,370,000
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)		\$	765,921
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)		\$	0
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)		\$	0
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 2,626,360		\$ <u></u>	3,135,921
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRAN (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	T PARTNERSHIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$	7,303,859
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration	of the Title V program)		
a. SPRANS:	\$0		
b. SSDI:	\$0		
c. CISS:	\$0		
d. Abstinence Education:	\$ 218,740		
e. Healthy Start:	\$0		
f. EMSC:	\$0		
g. WIC:	\$ 24,315,391		
h. AIDS:	\$ 0		
i. CDC:	\$ 2,067,000		
j. Education:	\$ 0		
k. Other:	*		
see field note	\$ 51,365,169		
	\$		
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds un	der item 9)	\$	77,966,300
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)		\$	85,270,159

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsOtherFund
Row Name: Other Federal Funds - Other Funds

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Additional other Federal Funds:

Additional other Federal Funds:
CSFP- \$756,956
Title X Family Planning - \$1,726,244
Title XX Social Security Block Grant - \$2,100,000
Medicaid Aged and Disabled Waiver - \$29,300,000
Early Intervention Medicaid in Public Schools - -\$5,500,000
Newborn Hearing Screening - \$125,000
Medicaid School Outreach - \$11,500,000
State Early Childhood Comprehensive Systems - \$141,000
Maternal Depression - \$215,969
Total - \$51,365,169

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2	2006
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$4,307,268	\$4,359,641	\$4,288,566	\$0	\$4,167,938	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$2,502,922	\$ 2,575,331	\$ 2,615,918	\$0	\$	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$939,703	\$930,947	\$940,000	\$0	\$	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$	\$7,865,919	\$	\$0	\$	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ 76,952,145	\$ 77,966,300	\$ 76,872,498	\$0	\$ 77,966,300	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$84,702,038	\$85,832,219	\$84,716,982	\$0	\$85,270,159	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2	2003			
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED			
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$4,185,740	\$4,477,282	\$4,212,473	\$4,413,013	\$4,279,023	\$3,940,800			
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$3,885,843	\$ 4,027,960	\$3,771,575	\$ 1,956,585	\$3,570,938	\$ 2,624,091			
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,649,538	\$0	\$946,443			
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$8,071,583	\$ 8,505,242	\$	\$8,019,136	\$7,849,961	\$7,511,334			
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)				
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$49,899,431	\$56,545,815	\$53,770,139	\$76,952,145	\$56,545,815	\$0			
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$57,971,014	\$ 65,051,057	\$61,754,187	\$ 84,971,281	\$64,395,776	\$ 7,511,334			
		(STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL)							

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main
 Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended
 Row Name: State Funds

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Section Number: Main Field Name: LocalMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: Local MCH Funds Column Name: Expended Year: 2003 Field Note:

3. Section Number: Main Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended Year: 2003 Field Note:

Field Note:

4. Section Number: Main Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

		FY 2	2004		FY 2005 FY 200				2006			
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Bu	DGETED	Exp	PENDED	Bui	DGETED	Ехр	ENDED	Βυ	DGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$	998,198	\$	1,534,876	\$	1,181,633	\$	0	\$	763,249	\$	0
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	807,895	\$	727,271	\$	681,048	\$	0	\$	701,477	\$	0
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	1,710,210	\$	1,928,461	\$	2,023,093	\$	0	\$	1,960,813	\$	0
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	3,381,166	\$	2,884,039	\$	3,136,568	\$	0	\$	2,856,600	\$	0
e. Others	\$	652,424	\$	651,643	\$	622,142	\$	0	\$	821,720	\$	0
f. Administration	\$	200,000	\$	139,629	\$	200,000	\$	0	\$	200,000	\$	0
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	7,749,893	\$	7,865,919	\$	7,844,484	\$	0	\$	7,303,859	\$	0
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	ontro	ol of the person re	espo	nsible for admini	strat	ion of the Title V	progr	am).				
a. SPRANS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
b. SSDI	\$	186,000			\$	115,000			\$	0		
c. CISS	\$	50,000			\$	50,000			\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	223,418			\$	223,418			\$	218,740		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	22,346,747			\$	22,929,748			\$	24,315,391		
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	3,195,821			\$	1,774,973			\$	2,067,000		
j. Education	\$	0			\$	51,779,359			\$	0		
k.Other]		1									
see field note	\$	0			\$	0			\$	51,365,169		
see note	\$	50,950,159			\$	0			\$	0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	76,952,145			\$	76,872,498			\$	77,966,300		

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

		FY 2	2001			FY 2002				FY 2003		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Bu	DGETED	Ехр	ENDED	Bui	OGETED	Exp	PENDED	Βυ	DGETED	EXF	PENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$	1,774,677	\$	1,762,528	\$	1,464,680	\$	1,633,327	\$	1,350,254	\$	1,574,41
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	800,019	\$	983,122	\$	1,233,033	\$	928,631	\$	1,020,692	\$	795,82
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	2,393,189	\$	2,465,408	\$	2,630,330	\$	1,566,839	\$	2,386,195	\$	1,424,09
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	2,172,256	\$	2,829,007	\$	2,276,386	\$	3,278,344	\$	2,660,370	\$	2,718,882
e. Others	\$	731,442	\$	265,177	\$	179,619	\$	411,863	\$	232,450	\$	798,118
f. Administration	\$	200,000	\$	200,000	\$	200,000	\$	200,000	\$	200,000	\$	200,000
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	8,071,583	\$	8,505,242	\$	7,984,048	\$	8,019,004	\$	7,849,961	\$	7,511,334
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	ontro	ol of the person re	espor	nsible for admini	strati	ion of the Title V	prog	ram).				
a. SPRANS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
b. SSDI	\$	100,000			\$	100,000			\$	100,000		
c. CISS	\$	72,500			\$	130,000			\$	100,000		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	246,177			\$	246,177			\$	246,177		
e. Healthy Start	\$	150,000			\$	150,000			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	18,900,000			\$	19,390,000			\$	20,294,000		
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	1,084,274			\$	2,653,171			\$	2,245,835		
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
k.Other]		ı				i		_			
See Notes	\$	0			\$	0			\$	33,559,803		
See notes for breakdown	\$	29,346,480			\$	31,100,791			\$	0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	49,899,431			\$	53,770,139			\$	56,545,815		

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenBudgeted Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenBudgeted Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Expenditure differs from Budget by more than 10% due to systemic problems with definition and forms. The variations are explained by unbudgeted carryover, origninating with the misinterpretation of the Financial Status Report (FRS) which feeds Form 2, Form 3, Form 4 and Form 5. See Section V. for detailed explanation.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Expenditure differs from budget due to a new methodology of reporting administrative costs. The FY 06 budget precedes the change in FY 04 expenditure methodology. Subsequently \$200,000 is budgeted for administrative although actual is likely to be less for admin.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Budgeted Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Budgeted Year: 2004

Field Note:

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Budgeted Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended Year: 2003

Field Note:

Expenditure differs from Budget by more than 10% due to systemic problems with definition and forms. The variations are explained by unbudgeted carryover, origninating with the misinterpretation of the Financial Status Report (FRS) which feeds Form 2, Form 3, Form 4 and Form 5. See Section V. for detailed explanation.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Budgeted Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004 Field Note:

10. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Budgeted Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Budgeted

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2006 Field Note:

11. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Year: 2003

Field Note:

Expenditure differs from Budget by more than 10% due to systemic problems with definition and forms. The variations are explained by unbudgeted carryover, origninating

with the misinterpretation of the Financial Status Report (FRS) which feeds Form 2, Form 3, Form 4 and Form 5. See Section V. for detailed explanation.

12. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_1_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Expenditure differs from budget due to a new methodology of reporting administrative costs. The FY 06 budget precedes the change in FY 04 expenditure methodology. Subsequently \$200,000 is budgeted for administrative although actual is likely to be less for admin.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNBudgeted Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004 Field Note:

14. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNBudgeted Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Budgeted Year: 2006

Field Note:

15. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Expenditure differs from budget due to a new methodology of reporting administrative costs. The FY 06 budget precedes the change in FY 04 expenditure methodology. Subsequently \$200,000 is budgeted for administrative although actual is likely to be less for admin.

Expenditure differs from Budget by more than 10% due to systemic problems with definition and forms. The variations are explained by unbudgeted carryover, origninating

16. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersBudgeted Row Name: All Others Column Name: Budgeted Year: 2004

Field Note:

17. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersBudgeted Row Name: All Others Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

18. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended Year: 2003

Field Note:

with the misinterpretation of the Financial Status Report (FRS) which feeds Form 2, Form 3, Form 4 and Form 5. See Section V. for detailed explanation.

19. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

20. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminBudgeted Row Name: Administration Column Name: Budgeted Year: 2004

Field Note:

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminBudgeted Row Name: Administration Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

22. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepvice	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2	2006
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$	\$ 2,311,689	\$ 2,113,963	\$0	\$	\$0
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$1,291,170	\$1,298,884	\$1,298,260	\$0	\$1,350,412	\$0
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$1,783,617	\$\$	\$	\$0	\$	\$0
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$2,534,441	\$2,070,367	\$2,652,504	\$0	\$1,672,974	\$0
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$7,749,893	\$7,865,919	\$7,844,484	\$0	\$7,303,859	\$0

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepulor	FY 2	2001	FY :	2002	FY 2	2003
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$1,113,879	\$1,818,435	\$ 1,265,704	\$ 2,723,125	\$ 1,525,165	\$ 2,325,740
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$2,324,616	\$2,337,293	\$\$2,213,088	\$1,854,167	\$1,882,334	\$1,310,879
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$1,154,236	\$1,800,229	\$	\$1,254,577	\$1,813,161	\$1,886,900
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$3,478,852	\$	\$\$2,388,352	\$\$	\$2,629,301	\$1,987,815
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$8,071,583	\$8,505,242	\$	\$8,019,004	\$7,849,961	\$7,511,334

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCBudgeted Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004 Field Note:

1. Expenditure exceeds budget due to systemic problems with definition and forms. Overexpenditure of the budget is explained by unbudgeted carryover, originating with the misinterpretation of the Financial Status Report (FSR) which feeds Form 2, Form 3, Form 4 and Form 5. See Section V. for detailed explanation.

Section Number: Main Field Name: DirectHCBudgeted

Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Expenditure differs from budget by more than 10% due to systemic problems with definition and forms. The variations are explained by unbudgeted carryover, originating with the misinterpretation of the Financial Status Report (FRS) which feeds Form 2, Form 3, Form 4 and Form 5. See Section V. for detailed explanation.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004

Field Note:

Expenditure exceeds budget due to systemic problems with definition and forms. Overexpenditure of the budget is explained by unbudgeted carryover, orginating with the misinterpretation of the Financial Status Report (FRS) which feeds Form 2, Form 3, and Form 5. See section V. for detailed explanation.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingBudgeted Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004 Field Note:

1. Expenditure exceeds budget due to systemic problems with definition and forms. Overexpenditure of the budget is explained by unbudgeted carryover, originating with the misinterpretation of the Financial Status Report (FSR) which feeds Form 2, Form 3, Form 4 and Form 5. See Section V. for detailed explanation.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingBudgeted Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Expenditure differs from budget by more than 10% due to systemic problems with definition and forms. The variations are explained by unbudgeted carryover, originating with the misinterpretation of the Financial Status Report (FRS) which feeds Form 2, Form 3, Form 4 and Form 5. See Section V. for detailed explanation.

1. Expenditure exceeds budget due to systemic problems with definition and forms. Overexpenditure of the budget is explained by unbudgeted carryover, originating with

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

Field Note:

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedBudgeted Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004 Field Note:

the misinterpretation of the Financial Status Report (FSR) which feeds Form 2, Form 3, Form 4 and Form 5. See Section V. for detailed explanation.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedBudgeted Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

11. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

12. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildBudgeted Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004 Field Note:

1. Expenditure exceeds budget due to systemic problems with definition and forms. Overexpenditure of the budget is explained by unbudgeted carryover, originating with the misinterpretation of the Financial Status Report (FSR) which feeds Form 2, Form 3, Form 4 and Form 5. See Section V. for detailed explanation.

13. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildBudgeted Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

14. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended
Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services
Column Name: Expended
Voor: 2002

Year: 2003

Field Note:

Expenditure differs from budget by more than 10% due to systemic problems with definition and forms. The variations are explained by unbudgeted carryover, originating with the misinterpretation of the Financial Status Report (FRS) which feeds Form 2, Form 3, Form 4 and Form 5. See Section V. for detailed explanation.

15. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Page 15 of 102

			FORM 6											
NUMBER AND P	ERCENTAGE OF	NEWBORNS A	ND OTHERS SC	REENED, CASE	S CONFIRMED,	AND TREATED								
	Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)													
	STATE: NE													
Total Births by Oc	otal Births by Occurrence: 26,443 Reporting Year: 2004													
(A) (B) (D)														
Type of Screening Tests (A) (B) (C) No. of Presumptive Positive Positive (Continued Continued Co														
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%								
Phenylketonuria	26,391	99.8	7	1	1	100								
Congenital Hypothyroidism	26,391	99.8	63	8	8	100								
Galactosemia	26,391	99.8	9	0	0									
Sickle Cell Disease	26,391	99.8	0	0	0									
Other Screening	(Specify)													
Biotinidase Deficiency	26,391	99.8	35	6	6	100								
Medium Chain AcylCo-A Dehydrogenase (MCAD)	26,391	99.8	5	4	4	100								
Screening Progra	ams for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)										
(1) Use occurrent (2) Report only tho (3) Use number of	ose from resident	births.			_									
				-										

Only Nebraska state mandated disorders reported on Form 6. Supplemental screening in 2004 identified 3 infants with 3-methylcrotonyl-CoA carboxylase (3-MCC) deficiency and infant with Tyrosinemia Type II

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Phenylketonuria_OneScreenNo

Row Name: Phenylketonuria

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006 Field Note:

5 Hyperphenylalaninemia

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Galactosemia_OneScreenNo

Row Name: Galactosemia

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006 Field Note:

3 Duarte variante Galactosemia

Section Number: Main Field Name: SickleCellDisease_OneScreenNo Row Name: SickleCellDisease Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006 Field Note:

1 SC - disease and 1 Hb-C disease Nebraska screens for hemoglobinopathies

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_Confirmed

Row Name: SickleCellDisease Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006

Field Note:

1 SC - disease and 1 Hb-C disease Nebraska screens for hemoglobinopathies

Section Number: Other Screening Types

Field Name: Other Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns

Year: 2006 Field Note:

partial biotinidase deficiency

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: NE

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V		PRIMAR	Y SOURCES OF COV	/ERAGE	
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %
Pregnant Women	3,247	81.0	0.0	11.0	8.0	0.0
Infants < 1 year old	26,391	32.7	0.0	66.5	0.5	0.0
Children 1 to 22 years old	15,655	24.0	5.0	19.0	52.0	0.0
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	3,503	54.0	12.0	23.0	11.0	0.0
Others	28,277	6.0	0.0	1.0	93.0	0.0
TOTAL	77,073					

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 7

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX

XIX
(BY RACE AND ETHNICITY)
[SEC. 506(A)(2)(C-D)]
STATE: NE

Reporting Year: 2004

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	26,291	23,287	1,542	434	598	0	0	430
Title V Served	3,550	2,120	371	439	60	5	130	425
Eligible for Title XIX	10,436	5,760	1,046	337	164	0	0	3,129
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	23,654	21,131	1,548	465	510	0	0	0
Title V Served	26,391	23,376	1,548	436	600	0	0	431
Eligible for Title XIX	13,691	8,022	1,344	402	196	10	3,252	465

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

			HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)						
(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown		
21,690	3,437	1,164	0	0	0	0	3,437		
2,487	941	122	369	0	0	50	522		
7,412	2,734	0	0	0	0	0	2,734		
22,342	1,312	0	0	0	0	0	1,312		
21,773	3,450	1,168	0	0	0	0	3,450		
10,558	3,133	0	0	0	0	0	3,133		
	21,690 2,487 7,412 22,342 21,773	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino (B) Total Hispanic or Latino (C) Ethnicity Not Reported (B.1) Mexican 21,690 3,437 1,164 0 2,487 941 122 369 7,412 2,734 0 0 22,342 1,312 0 0 21,773 3,450 1,168 0	C C Ethnicity Not Reported C C Ethnicity Not Reported C C C C C C C C C	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino (B) Total Hispanic or Latino (C) Ethnicity Not Reported (B.1) Mexican (B.2) Cuban (B.3) Puerto Rican 21,690 3,437 1,164 0 0 0 2,487 941 122 369 0 0 7,412 2,734 0 0 0 0 22,342 1,312 0 0 0 0 21,773 3,450 1,168 0 0 0	Cantal NOT Hispanic or Latino		

Data is reflective of 2004, except for "total infants" in the state which is projected census data for 2004, thus the discrepency with the number of infants serviced (Form 7)

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal_All Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is reflective of 2004, except for "total infants" in the state which is projected census data for 2004, thus the discrepency with the number of infants serviced (Form 7)

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleV_All Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Total number of infants is a projection of 2004 census data

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race Field Name: InfantsTitleV_Hawaiian Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Year: 2006 Field Note:

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: NE

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 861-1889	(800) 862-1889	(800) 862-1889	(800) 862-1889	(800) 862-1889
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Nebraska Healthy Mothers, Healthy Babies Helpline				
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Sue Huffman	To Be Announced	To Be Announced	Carol Williams	Carol Williams
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number	(402) 471-1938	(402) 471-1938	(402) 471-1938	(402) 471-1938	(402) 471-1938
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this	0		415	497	645

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: NE

reporting period

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number					
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name					
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"					
Contact Person's Telephone Number					
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	0	0	0

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 9
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [SEC. 506(A)(1)]

STATE: NE

1. State MCH Administration:

Title V / MCH Services Block Grant allocated to Nebraska Health and Human Services, Regulation and Licensure is administered within the Office of Family Health. Family Health conducts the statewide MCH assessment, does planning, leads initiatives, develops policies, and administers programs to improve the health of women, infants, children, adolescents and families in Nebraska. Programs within Family Health are: 1) Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC), 2) Commodity Supplemental Food Program (CSFP), 3) Reproductive Health, 4) Immunization, 5) Perinatal, Child and Adolescent Health, 6) Newborn Screening, and 7) MCH Epidemiology unit that includes the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring Survey (PRAMS) and the Child Death Review Team. More information about these programs is at the website http://www.hhs.state.ne.us/fah/fahindex.htm The Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) program, known as the Medically Handicapped Children's Program, is located in the Home and Community Based Services for Aged and Physically Disabled within the agency Nebraska Health and Human Services, Finance and Support. Under the direction of the CSHCN Director, this division also administers the Disabled Children's Program, Aged and Disabled Medicaid Waiver which also serves children, Early Intervention Medicaid Waiver, Early Intervention Program (co-administered with the Department of Education, Special Education Branch), Medicaid in Public Schools, and the Katie Beckett plan amendment. For more information, see http://www.hhs.state.ne.us/chd/chdindex.htm Nebraska describes Title V as a funding source that supports programs, not a program itself. The Block Grant supports many of the programs within Family Health, as well as other state-level programs/units within the Nebraska Health and Human Services System, including the Medically Handicapped Children's Program, Dental Health, Birth Defects Registry, and the Office of Minority Health, and Office of Women's Health. Title V/MCH also supports community-based organizations, and while the FY 2006 awards are not finalized at the time of this writing, the types of entities previously supported include local health departments, community action programs, and programs administered within Native American Tribes, academic institutions, and hospitals. MCH Planning and Support within Family Health is responsible for the management of the Title V / MCH Block Grant.

Block Grant Funds

2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 4,167,938
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 0
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 2,370,000
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 765,921
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 0
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 0
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 7,303,859

9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:

	Community level Service Providers
	Local Health Departments
	Federally recognized Tribes
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)	
a. Pregnant Women	3,247
b. Infants < 1 year old	26,391
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	15,655
d. CSHCN	3,503
e. Others	28,277

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships: a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services: Nebraska groups population-based, direct care and enabling services into "services" generally, defining services as activities directed at the needs of a population. In FY 2006, Nebraska commits approximately 38% of the MCH/Title V Block Grant to communitybased organizations to address Nebraska priority needs in local communities This support encourages a local community to assess its resources and capacity to address the health needs of Nebraska mothers and children. The state agency provides technical assistance and financial resources to communities to implement work plans and evaluate if outcomes are reached. A portion of the subgranted funds is setaside for the four federally-recognized Native American Tribes headquartered in Nebraska (Omaha, Ponca, Santee Sioux and Winnebago).
- b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

See subsections 11.a. and 11.c. b. Population-based Services

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

- c. Infrastructure Building Services Nebraska delineates infrastructure building from other services (described in subsection 11.a.) by those activities that focus on overall capacity-building to address the MCH priority needs. Infrastructure is largely supported at the state-level. "Direct, enabling, and population-based services" are the primary focus of the MCH funds to community-based organizations with capacity-building incorporated as needed. Beginning with FY 2006, the state agency will contract with the local health departments recognized under L.B. 692, Nebraska legislation using tobacco settlement funds to develop statewide public health network, to purchase MCH capacitybuilding activities to specifically address Nebraska's MCH priority need(s).
- 12. The primary Title V Program contact person:

13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:

State level programs/Units

Name Name

Mary Jo Iwan		Paula Eurek, RD	
Deputy Administrator, Home & Community Based Servi	Title	Administrator, Office of Family Health	Title
Finance & Support - NHHSS	Address	Regulation & Licensure - NHHSS	Address
Lincoln	City	Lincoln	City
NE	State	Nebraska	State
68509-5004	Zip	68509-5007	Zip
(402) 471-9345	Phone	(402) 471-0196	Phone
(402) 471-6352	Fax	(402) 471-7049	Fax
maryjo.iwan@hhss.ne.gov	Email	paula.eurek@hhss.ne.gov	Email
http://www.hhs.state.ne.us/chd/mhcp.htm	Web	http://www.hhs.state.ne.us/pch/mchbg.htm	Web

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 10
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 11 TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [SECS 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: NE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator	99.6	99.7	99.6	99.8	100.0
Numerator	24,863	25,043	25,478	26,008	30
Denominator	24,958	25,109	25,575	26,067	30
s the Data Provisional or Final?	1			Final	Final
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the a	bove years. Numera	or, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator		ure year data.			

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual C	Objective and Perfori	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			70	0	70
Annual Indicator			66.4	66.4	66.4
Numerator			326	326	326
Denominator			491	491	491
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

		Annual C	Objective and Perform	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	70	70	70	70	70

Annual Indicator Denominator

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03						
he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoing, compreh	nensive care within a r	nedical home. (CSHC	N Survey)	
			Objective and Perfor		2024	
Annual Parformance Objective	2000	2001	2002 55	2003	2004	5
Annual Performance Objective			53.8	53.8		53.
			700			70
Numerator				706		
Denominator			1,313	1,313	Final	1,31
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	55	55	55	55		5
Annual Indicator						
	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Ind	icators
Numerator	not required for futi	ura vaar data				
Denominator	Thou required for fact	ure year data.				
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh		dequate private and/o	•		ney need. ((CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh		dequate private and/o	or public insurance to Objective and Perfor 2002		ney need. (0	CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	,	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ad	dequate private and/o Annual o 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	,	6
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002 65 63.5	mance Data 2003	,	63.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have ad	dequate private and/o Annual o 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 65 63.5	2003 63.5	,	63. 71
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ose families have ad	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002 65 63.5	mance Data 2003 63.5 719	,	63. 71
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ad	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002 65 63.5	63.5 719	2004	63. 71
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ad	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002 65 63.5	719 1,133	2004	6 63. 71 1,13
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ad	Annual of Annual	0bjective and Perfor 2002 65 63.5 719 1,133 00bjective and Perfor 2007	719 1,133	2004	63. 71
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002 65 63.5 719 1,133 Objective and Perfor	719 1,133 Final	2004 Final	63. 71
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2005 65	Annual of Annual	0bjective and Perfor 2002 65 63.5 719 1,133 Objective and Perfor 2007 65	63.5 719 1,133 Final mance Data 2008 65	2004 Final	63. 71 1,13
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	2000 2005 65 Please fill in only the not required for futters.	Annual (2001 Annual (2006 65 ne Objectives for the second of the se	0bjective and Perfor 2002 65 63.5 719 1,133 00bjective and Perfor 2007	63.5 719 1,133 Final mance Data 2008 65	2004 Final	63

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05	. We consider a							" (OO)
ercent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose urvey)	tamilies report the c	ommunity-based sei	rvice systems a	re orgai	nizea so tne	ey can use	them easi	ily. (CSr
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and	Perfor	mance Dat	ta		
	2000	2001	2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective				80				80
Annual Indicator				79.8		79.8		79.
Numerator				327		327		32
Denominator				410		410		41
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and	Perfor	mance Dat	t <u>a</u>		
	2005	2006	2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	80	80		80		80		8
Annual Indicator	Bloom CILL College	. 01 1					A	
	Place till in only th							
Numerator	not required for fut	ne Objectives for the	above years. N	vumerat	or, Denomi	illatol allu	Annuai ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. N	numerat	or, Denomi	illator allu	Annuai ind	licators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. N	numerat	or, Denomi	inator and	Annuai ind	licators
Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. r	numerat	or, Denomi	illatol allu	Annuai ind	licators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut	ure yéar data.						dicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut	ure year data.		s of adu	lt life. (CSF	HCN Surve		dicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 ne percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitic Annual 2001	on to all aspect Objective and 2002	s of adu	lt life. (CSF	HCN Surve		dicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitic Annual 2001	on to all aspect Objective and 2002	s of adu	lt life. (CSF	HCN Surve	ey)	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitic Annual 2001	on to all aspect Objective and 2002	s of adu	lt life. (CSF	HCN Surve	ey)	1
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitic Annual 2001	on to all aspect Objective and 2002	s of adu Perfore	lt life. (CSF	HCN Surve	ey)	1 5.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 ne percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitic Annual 2001	on to all aspect Objective and 2002	s of adu Perform 10 5.1	lt life. (CSF	HCN Surve	ey)	1 5.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 ne percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitic Annual 2001	on to all aspect Objective and 2002	s of adu Perform 10 5.1 118	lt life. (CSF	HCN Surve	ey)	1 5. 11 2,31
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitic Annual 2001	on to all aspect Objective and 2002	s of adu Perform 10 5.1 118	llt life. (CSF mance Dat 2003	HCN Surve	2004	1 5.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 he percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitic Annual 2001	on to all aspect Objective and 2002	s of adu Perform 10 5.1 118 2,314	lt life. (CSF mance Dat 2003 Final	5.1 118 2,314	2004	1 5.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transitic Annual 2001	on to all aspect Objective and 2002	s of adu Perform 10 5.1 118 2,314	lt life. (CSF mance Dat 2003 Final	5.1 118 2,314	2004	1 5.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future the services necess 2000	ure year data. sary to make transitic Annual 2001 Annual	on to all aspect Objective and 2002 Objective and 2007	s of adu Perform 10 5.1 118 2,314	lt life. (CSF mance Dat 2003 Final	5.1 118 2,314	2004 Final	1 5.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 the percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future the services necess 2000 2005 10	Annual 2006	on to all aspect Objective and 2002 Objective and 2007	s of adu Perform 10 5.1 118 2,314 Perform	Final	5.1 118 2,314	2004 Final	1 5. 11 2,31
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future the services necess 2000 2005 10	Annual 2006 Annual 2006	on to all aspect Objective and 2002 Objective and 2007	s of adu Perform 10 5.1 118 2,314 Perform	Final	5.1 118 2,314	2004 Final	1 5 11 2,31

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07		-!-ations on	-:at Maar	-l Mumna Duball	- Dalia Dia	therie T	-t-nua Da	
Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of ago Haemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	e appropriate iminui	nizations aga	ainst ivieas	sies, wumps, Kubeii	а, Ропо, ыр	ontheria, i e	etanus, Pe	rtussis,
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			90	90		90		90
Annual Indicator	75		78.9	78.2		77.9		82.3
Numerator								
Denominator								
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo		<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective			85.4	86.9		88.5		9
Annual Indicator		ne Obiective	s for the a	shove years. Numer	stor Donom	inator and	Annual Inc	P
Numerator	1 10000				1101. DEHOH		Annual Inc	ricators
Donominator	not required for fut	ure year dat	a.	above years. Numera	ator, Denom	illator and	Annuai inc	aicators
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year dat	a.	soove years. Numera	ator, Denom	illator and	Annual Inc	dicators
2010/11III.de	not required for fut	ure year dat	a.	above years. Numera	ator, Denom	illatol allu	Annual inc	dicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for fut	ure year dat	a.	above years. Numera	ator, Denom	illator and	Annual Inc	dicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for fut	ure year dat	a. 	Dbjective and Perfo			Annual Inc	dicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for fut	ure year dat	a. 				2004	dicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	2000	ure year dat	a. 	Objective and Perfo	rmance Da			
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	2000	ure year dat	Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance Da	<u>ta</u>		1
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective	2000 20 19.2	ure year dat	Annual C	Objective and Perfo 2002	rmance Da	<u>ta</u> 18.5		1 17. 67
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 20 19.2 754	ure year dat	Annual C	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 19 18.4	rmance Da	18.5 18.5		1 17.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 20 19.2 754 39,291	ure year dat	Annual C 19.5 19.9 760	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 19 18.4 701	rmance Da	18.5 18.5 696		1 17. 67
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 20 19.2 754 39,291	ure year dat	Annual C 19.5 19.9 760	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 19 18.4 701	rmance Da 2003	18.5 18.5 696	2004	1 17. 67
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 20 19.2 754 39,291	ure year dat	Annual C 19.5 19.9 760 38,137	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 19 18.4 701	rmance Da 2003 	18.5 18.5 696 37,675	2004	1 17. 67
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 20 19.2 754 39,291	ure year dat	Annual C 19.5 19.9 760 38,137	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 19 18.4 701 37,999	rmance Da 2003 	18.5 18.5 696 37,675	2004	1 17. 67
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 20 19.2 754 39,291	2001	Annual C 19.5 19.9 760 38,137	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 19 18.4 701 37,999	rmance Da 2003 Final	18.5 18.5 696 37,675	2004 Final	1 17. 67
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 20 19.2 754 39,291 2005 17.5	2001 2006	Annual C 19.5 19.9 760 38,137 Annual C	Dispective and Performance 2002 19 18.4 701 37,999 Dispective and Performance 2007 17.5	rmance Da 2003 Final rmance Da 2008	18.5 18.5 696 37,675 ta	2004 Final	1 17. 67 37,70
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 20 19.2 754 39,291 2005 17.5	2001 2006 e Objective	Annual C 19.5 19.9 760 38,137 Annual C 17.5 es for the a	2002 19 18.4 701 37,999 Objective and Perfo	rmance Da 2003 Final rmance Da 2008	18.5 18.5 696 37,675 ta	2004 Final	17 67 37,70

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09					
Percent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one perm	manent molar tooth			
			I Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		17		17	-
Annual Indicator				NaN	44
	0		0	0	10,48
Denominator	0		0	0	23,5
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
	2005		al Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2008	2000
Annual Parformance Chicative		2006 46.8	2007 3 47.8	2008 48.9	2009
Annual Performance Objective		70.0	77.0	-10.0	
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	e Objectives for th	e above years. Numera	tor Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for futu	ire vear data	c above years. Hames	tor, Donormiato. a	/ IIII aai III alocio.
Denominator	,	aro your data.			
Denominator					
Denominator	· 				
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10			dren.		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10		es per 100,000 chil	dren. Il Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by recommendation.	motor vehicle crashe	es per 100,000 chil		rmance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by reaching the company of the compan	motor vehicle crashe	es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001	ıl Objective and Perfo		2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective	motor vehicle crashe	es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001	al Objective and Performance 2002 5 5	2003	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	motor vehicle crashe	es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001 5.5	2002 5 5 4.7	2003	4
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	motor vehicle crashe 2000 5 4.9	es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001 5.3	1 Objective and Performance 2002 5 5 5 4.7 17	2003 4.4 6.6	4
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 5 4.9 18 369,427	es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001 5.3	1 Objective and Performance 2002 5 5 5 4.7 17	2003 4.4 6.6 24	4
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 5 4.9 18 369,427	es per 100,000 chil Annua 2001 5.3	1 Objective and Performance 2002 5 5 5 4.7 17	4.4 6.6 24 364,714	Na
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 5 4.9 18 369,427	2001 5.3 263,968	1 Objective and Performance 2002 5 5 5 4.7 17	2003 4.4 6.6 24 364,714 Final	Na
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 5 4.9 18 369,427	2001 5.3 263,968	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 5 5 4.7 0 17 3 364,293	2003 4.4 6.6 24 364,714 Final	Na
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 5 4.9 18 369,427	2001 5.9 203 204 205 206 207 208 208 208 208 208 208 208 208	1 Objective and Performance 2002 5 5 5 6 4.7 0 17 8 364,293	2003 4.4 6.6 24 364,714 Final	Na Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 5 4.9 18 369,427	2001 Solve Time () () () () () () () () () (1 Objective and Performance 2002 5 5 5 6 4.7 0 17 8 364,293 1 Objective and Performance 2007 4 4.4	2003 4.4 6.6 24 364,714 Final Timance Data 2008	Provisional 2009
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 5 4.9 18 369,427	2001 Solve Time () () () () () () () () () (1 Objective and Performance 2002 5 5 5 6 4.7 0 17 8 364,293	2003 4.4 6.6 24 364,714 Final Timance Data 2008	Provisional 2009

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital discha-	irge.				
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		75	75	75	7
Annual Indicator	r72.8	71.6	75.8	75.8	73.
Numerator	·				
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Final
	2005	Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		75		75	2003 7
Annual Indicator					
	Diagon fill in anhy th	on Objectives for the	-l Ni		
		ie Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominato	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futo	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor		Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futo	ure year data.			Annual Indicators 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futor hospital discharge.	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	hospital discharge. 2000	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 75	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective	hospital discharge. 2000 25 36.3	ure year data. Annual 2001 50	Objective and Perfor 2002 75 88.8	mance Data 2003 95	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	hospital discharge. 2000 25 36.3 9,043.1	Annual 2001 50 60.9 15,272	Objective and Perfor 2002 75 88.8 22,665	mance Data 2003 95 97.6	2004 9 98.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future. hospital discharge. 2000 25 36.3 9,043.1 24,912	Annual 2001 50 60.9 15,272	Objective and Perfor 2002 75 88.8 22,665	mance Data 2003 95 97.6 25,275	2004 9 98. 25,96
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future. hospital discharge. 2000 25 36.3 9,043.1 24,912	Annual 2001 50 60.9 15,272	Objective and Perfor 2002 75 88.8 22,665	95 97.6 25,275 25,900	2004 98. 25,96 26,44
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future. hospital discharge. 2000 25 36.3 9,043.1 24,912	Annual 2001 50 60.9 15,272 25,090	Objective and Perfor 2002 75 88.8 22,665	mance Data 2003 95 97.6 25,275 25,900 Final	2004 98. 25,96 26,44
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future. hospital discharge. 2000 25 36.3 9,043.1 24,912	Annual 2001 50 60.9 15,272 25,090 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002	95 97.6 25,275 25,900 Final mance Data 2008	2004 98. 25,96 26,44 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future. hospital discharge. 2000 2	Annual 2001 50 60.9 15,272 25,090	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 95 97.6 25,275 25,900 Final	2004 98. 25,96 26,44 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future of the	Annual 2001 50 60.9 15,272 25,090 Annual 2006 99	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 95 97.6 25,275 25,900 Final mance Data 2008 99	2004 98. 25,96 26,44 Final

ent of children without health insurance.					
		<u>Annı</u>	ual Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	re 7.5		7 6.5	6	5.
Annual Indicat	or) 7	7.7 8.1	11.6	12.
Numerat	or 31,000	35,5	40 38,000	17,000	18,00
Denominat	or 441,000	464,1	50 468,569	146,000	145,00
Is the Data Provisional or Fina	1?			Final	Final
		Annı	ual Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	re 12.2		12 11.8	11.6	11
Denominat)i				
FORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received		e Medicaid Program	1.		
FORMANCE MEASURE # 14	a service paid by th	<u>Annı</u>	ual Objective and Perfor		
FORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received	a service paid by the	<u>Annı</u> 2001	ual Objective and Perfor	2003	2004
FORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received Annual Performance Objective	a service paid by the 2000 ye 98	2001	2002 95	2003 95	
FORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received Annual Performance Objective	2000 95 or 97.6	2001 5 95	ual Objective and Perfor	2003	
FORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received Annual Performance Objective	a service paid by the 2000 ye 98	2001 5 95	2002 95 95 6.4 97.0	2003 95	96
FORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicate Numerate	2000 95 or 97.6	2001 2001 5 95 148,5	120 Descrive and Performance 2002 95 95 6.4 97.0 29 157,118	95 96.7	96 152,47
FORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicate Numerate	2000 2000	2001 2001 5 95 148,5	120 Descrive and Performance 2002 95 95 6.4 97.0 29 157,118	95 96.7 160,596	96. 152,47
FORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received Annual Performance Objecti Annual Indicat Numerat Denominat	2000 2000	2001 95 148,5 155,6	120 Descrive and Performance 2002 95 95 6.4 97.0 29 157,118	95 96.7 160,596 166,000 Final	96. 152,47 158,00
FORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received Annual Performance Objecti Annual Indicat Numerat Denominat	2000 ye 99 or 97.6 or 128,828 or 132,000 1?	2001 2001 2001 2001 2006 Annu 2006	95 95 6.4 97.0 29 157,118 79 162,000	95 96.7 160,596 166,000 Final	96 152,47 158,00

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15								
ne percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.								
			=	ctive and Perfor		ta		
	2000	2001		02	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			1	1		0.9		0.
Annual Indicator	1.3		1.3	1.3		1.2		1
Numerator	313		322	331		315		32
Denominator	24,643	24	1,818	25,381		25,900		26,32
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
		<u>Ar</u>	nual Obje	ctive and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006	20	07	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	0.9		0.9	0.9		0.9		C
Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives foure year data.	or the above	e years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for fut	ure year data.					Annual In	dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for futu gh 19.	ure year data.	nnual Obje	ctive and Perfor	mance Da			dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	not required for futu gh 19.	ure year data.	nnual Objec 20	ctive and Perfor		<u>ta</u>	Annual In	dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	not required for futured for f	ure year data. Ar 2001	nnual Objec 20 9.5	ctive and Perfor 02	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	gh 19. 2000 10 11.1	ure year data. Ar 2001	9.5 13.0	ctive and Perfor 02 9 9.8	mance Da	8.5 9.9		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 10 11.1 15	Ar 2001	9.5 13.0	9 9 9.8	mance Da	8.5 9.9		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	gh 19. 2000 10 11.1 15 134,909	Ar 2001	9.5 13.0	ctive and Perfor 02 9 9.8	mance Da 2003	8.5 9.9	2004	Na
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	gh 19. 2000 10 11.1 15 134,909	Ar 2001	9.5 13.0	9 9 9.8	mance Da	8.5 9.9		Na
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 10 11.1 15 134,909	2001	9.5 13.0 17 0,881	9 9.8 13 132,107	mance Da 2003 Final	8.5 9.9 13 130,871	2004 Provisio	Na
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future gh 19. 2000 10 11.1 15 134,909	2001 130	9.5 13.0 17 0,881	9 9.8 13 132,107	mance Da 2003 Final	8.5 9.9 13 130,871	2004	Na onal
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for future gh 19. 2000 10 11.1 15 134,909 2005	2001	9.5 13.0 17 0,881	9 9.8 13 132,107	mance Da 2003 Final	8.5 9.9 13 130,871	2004 Provisio	Nal
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future gh 19. 2000 10 11.1 15 134,909 2005	2001 Ar 2006	9.5 13.0 17 0,881	9 9.8 13 132,107 ctive and Perfor	Final 2008	8.5 9.9 13 130,871 ta	2004 Provisio	Na onal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 17								
Percent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-ri	sk deliveries and ne	eonates.						
			ual Objective and	Perfori		<u>ta</u>		
AI.P. of	2000	2001	2002 90	00	2003	00	2004	00
Annual Performance Objective				90		90	-	90
Annual Indicator			4.5	70.7		65.4		70.3
Numerator	258		240	234		206		230
Denominator	313	;	322	331		315		327
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
		•	1211	-	D.			
	2005	Ann 2006	ual Objective and 2007	Pertori	mance Dat 2008	<u>ta</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective			8.2	82.1	2000	86.1	2000	9
Annual Indicator								
Almaai malaatoi								
Numerator	Please fill in only th	e Objectives for	the above years. N	lumerat	or, Denomi	inator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives for ure year data.	the above years. N	lumerat	or, Denomi	inator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	not required for futu	ine year data. imester. Ann	ual Objective and		mance Dat			dicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned.	not required for future of the first tri	ure year data.	ual Objective and 2002	Perfori		<u>ta</u>	Annual Ind	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care begandered. Annual Performance Objective	ginning in the first tri	mester. Ann 2001	ual Objective and 2002	Perform 90	mance Dat	t a 90		9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of the second	ginning in the first tri 2000 90 82.9	imester. Ann 2001	<u>ual Objective and 2002 90</u>	Perform 90 83.1	mance Dat	90 83.3		9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned. Annual Performance Objective	ginning in the first tri 2000 90 82.9 20,429	mester. Ann 2001 8	ual Objective and 2002 90 2.8 258 2	90 83.1 1,094	mance Dat	90 83.3 21,574		9 82. 21,77
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the present of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the present of	ginning in the first tri 2000 90 82.9 20,429 24,643	mester. Ann 2001 8	ual Objective and 2002 90 2.8 258 2	Perform 90 83.1	mance Dat	90 83.3	2004	9 82. 21,77
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned from the pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned from the pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned from the predictive and the predictive from the pred	ginning in the first tri 2000 90 82.9 20,429 24,643	mester. Ann 2001 8	ual Objective and 2002 90 2.8 258 2	90 83.1 1,094	mance Dat	90 83.3 21,574		9 82. 21,77 26,32
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the present of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the present of	ginning in the first tri 2000 90 82.9 20,429 24,643	mester. Ann 2001 8 20,4	ual Objective and 2002 90 2.8 558 2 318 2	90 83.1 1,094 5,381	mance Dat 2003 Final	90 83.3 21,574 25,900	2004	9 82. 21,77
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of infants born to predname and the second of infants beganned as a s	ginning in the first tri 2000 90 82.9 20,429 24,643	mester. Ann 2001 8 20,4	ual Objective and 2002 90 2.8 558 2 318 2 ual Objective and	90 83.1 1,094 5,381	mance Date 2003 Final	90 83.3 21,574 25,900	2004 Final	9 82. 21,77
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	90 82.9 20,429 24,643	2001 8 20,4 24,6 Ann 2006	ual Objective and 2002 90 2.8 558 2 318 2	90 83.1 1,094 5,381	mance Dat 2003 Final	90 83.3 21,574 25,900	2004	9 82. 21,77
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and present of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and present of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and present of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and present of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and present of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and present of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and present of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and present of infants and present o	90 90 82.9 20,429 24,643	2001 8 20,4 24,6 Ann 2006	ual Objective and 2002 90 2.8 558 2 318 2 ual Objective and 2007	90 83.1 1,094 5,381	mance Date 2003 Final	90 83.3 21,574 25,900	2004 Final	82 21,77 26,32
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and present of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and present the present of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned annual Indicator. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator.	90 90 82.9 20,429 24,643	2001 8 20,1 24,1 2006 8	ual Objective and 2002 90 2.8 558 2 318 2 ual Objective and 2007 5.6	90 83.1 1,094 5,381 Perform	Final mance Date 2008	90 83.3 21,574 25,900	2004 Final	9 82. 21,77 26,32

cidence of confirmed SIDS cases (per 1,000 live births) among Afri			l Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.5	2.2
Annual Indicato	2.9	2.8	3.1	2.8	3.1
Numerato	r24	24	27	25	29
Denominato			8,808	9,073	9,325
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,			Final	Provisional
		<u>Annua</u>	I Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Denominato	Please fill in only the not required for fut	1.9 ne Objectives for the ure year data.	1.4 e above years. Numera	0.8 tor, Denominator and	
Annual Indicato Numerato	Please fill in only the not required for fut	- Objective for the			
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only the not required for fut the state of	ne Objectives for the ure year data. Annua	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of women of child-bearing age who report smoking in the las	Please fill in only the not required for fut to 30 days	e Objectives for the ure year data. Annua	e above years. Numera I Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Treent of women of child-bearing age who report smoking in the las Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut at 30 days	Annua 2001	l Objective and Perfor 2002	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators 2004
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of women of child-bearing age who report smoking in the las Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	t 30 days 2000 21%	Annua 2001 24.8	I Objective and Perfor 2002 21 24.4	rmance Data 2003 22 25.2	Annual Indicators 2004 1 21.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of women of child-bearing age who report smoking in the las Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut at 30 days 2000 21% 27.3	Annua 2001 24.8	l Objective and Perfor 2002 21 24.4 78,209	rmance Data 2003 22 25.2 79,968	2004 1 21. 68,36
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of women of child-bearing age who report smoking in the las Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut to 30 days 2000 21% 27.3 84,464 309,600	Annua 2001 24.8	l Objective and Perfor 2002 21 24.4 78,209	rmance Data 2003 22 25.2	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of women of child-bearing age who report smoking in the las Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut to 30 days 2000 21% 27.3 84,464 309,600	Annua 2001 21 24.8 80,978	Objective and Perfor 2002	tor, Denominator and 2003 22 25.2 79,968 317,204 Final	2004 21. 68,36: 324,59
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Incent of women of child-bearing age who report smoking in the last Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut to 30 days 2000 21% 27.3 84,464 309,600	Annua 2001 21 24.8 80,978	l Objective and Perfor 2002 21 24.4 78,209	tor, Denominator and 2003 22 25.2 79,968 317,204 Final	2004 21. 68,36: 324,59

cidence of neural tube defects (per 10,000 live births)								
γ			Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Da	ata		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	3.5		3.5	3.5		6.9		6.4
Annual Indicator	7.7		8.5	7.5	'	7.3		4.0
	19		21	19		19		1:
Denominator			24,818	25,381		25,900		26,29
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Da	ata		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	5.8		5.3	4.7		4.5		4
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	ne Objective ure year dat	es for the ab	bove years. Numera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objective ure year dat	es for the at	bove years. Numera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual In	ndicators
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in only the not required for fut			bove years. Numeral			Annual In	ndicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in only the not required for fut		Annual O				Annual In	odicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in only the not required for futional plants. It is a constant of the plants of the plan			bjective and Perfor	mance Da			
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 spitalizations for injuries (per 100,000), birth to 14 (intentional and	Please fill in only the not required for fution transfer fill in only the not required for fution transfer fill in only the not required for futions and the notion of the		Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Da	ata		12
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 spitalizations for injuries (per 100,000), birth to 14 (intentional and Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut unintentional) 2000 140 358.4		Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002 130	mance Da	200		12 Na
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 spitalizations for injuries (per 100,000), birth to 14 (intentional and Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut unintentional) 2000 140 358.4 1,324	2001	140 367.3	bjective and Perfor 2002 130 315.7	mance Da	200 325.5		12 Na
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 spitalizations for injuries (per 100,000), birth to 14 (intentional and and an annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. unintentional) 2000 140 358.4 1,324 369,437	2001	Annual O 140 367.3 1,337	130 315.7 1,150	mance Da	200 325.5 1,184		12 Na
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 spitalizations for injuries (per 100,000), birth to 14 (intentional and	Please fill in only the not required for fut. unintentional) 2000 140 358.4 1,324 369,437	2001	140 367.3 1,337 363,968	2002 130 315.7 1,150 364,293	mance Da 2003 Final	200 325.5 1,184 363,707	2004	12 Na
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 spitalizations for injuries (per 100,000), birth to 14 (intentional and	Please fill in only the not required for fut. unintentional) 2000 140 358.4 1,324 369,437	2001	140 367.3 1,337 363,968	130 315.7 1,150	mance Da 2003 Final	200 325.5 1,184 363,707	2004	12 Na
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Ispitalizations for injuries (per 100,000), birth to 14 (intentional and and anti- Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. unintentional) 2000 140 358.4 1,324 369,437	2001	140 367.3 1,337 363,968	130 2002 130 315.7 1,150 364,293	mance Da 2003 Final	200 325.5 1,184 363,707	2004 Provisio	12 Nal

TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7								
ercent of teens who report use of alcohol in last 30 days								
			Annual C	Objective and P				
	2000	2001		2002	200		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			45		47	52		5
Annual Indicator	. NA		47.0	4	7.0	46.5		46.
Numerator			846	- 8	46	60,855		60,85
Denominator			1,800	1,8	00	130,871		130,87
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•				Fina	I	Final	
			Annual (Objective and P	rformance	e Data		
	2005	2006		2007	200	3	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	45.6		44.6	4	3.4	42.9		4
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for fu			above years. Nur	nerator, De	nominator and	Annual I	ndicators
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	Please fill in only not required for fu	ture year d	ata.	above years. Nur	nerator, De	nominator and	Annual I	ndicators
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	Please fill in only not required for fu	ture year d	month				Annual I	ndicators
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	Please fill in only not required for fu	ture year d	month	Objective and Po		e Data	Annual I	ndicators
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	Please fill in only not required for function or more Medicaid p	atients per	month	Objective and Po	erformance	e Data		
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 recent of Medicaid-participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of the c	Please fill in only not required for function or more Medicaid p	atients per	month Annual (Objective and Po	erformance 200	<u>• Data</u> •		
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Increment of Medicaid-participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of	Please fill in only not required for function for more Medicaid por more Medicaid po	atients per	month Annual C	Objective and Po	erformance 2000	<u>e Data</u> 3		
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 recent of Medicaid-participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of the	Please fill in only not required for function for more Medicaid programme for more Med	atients per	month Annual C	Objective and Po	erformance 2009 18	• Data 3 18 NaN		
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 Procent of Medicaid-participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of th	Please fill in only not required for function for more Medicaid programmer for more for more fill programmer for more fo	atients per	month Annual (33.3 238	Objective and Po	erformance 200: 18 aN	Data 3 18 NaN 0		
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 ercent of Medicaid-participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of Medicaid Participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of Medicaid Participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of Medicaid Participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of Medicaid Participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of Medicaid Participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of Medicaid Participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of Medicaid Participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of Medicaid Participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of Medicaid Participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of Medicaid Participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of Medicaid Participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of Medicaid Participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the control of the	Please fill in only not required for function for more Medicaid programmer for more for more fill programmer for more fo	atients per	month Annual (17 33.3 238 715	Objective and Po	200: 18	2 Data 3 18 NaN 0	2004	ndicators 1
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Annual Indicator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for function for more Medicaid programmer for more for more fill programmer for more fo	atients per	month Annual (17 33.3 238 715	Objective and Po 2002 N	200: 18	2 Data 3 18 NaN 0 0	2004	
Numerator Denominator TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 ercent of Medicaid-participating dentists who see an average of 25 of the company of the	Please fill in only not required for function for more Medicaid programmer for more for more fill programmer for more fo	atients per 2001 2006	month Annual (17 33.3 238 715	Objective and Positive and Posi	erformance 200: 18 aN 0 Fina	2 Data 3 18 NaN 0 0	2004 Final	

ercent of CSHCN seen at CSHCN multidisciplinary team clinics who	receive recomment					
	2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective		75		90	2004	90
-	93.3			72.7		23.9
	14			16		9:
Denominator				22		38
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		15		Provisional	Final	30
is the sate in consistent of thinking				. To the format		
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	90	90	90	90		9
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	l Annual Indi	icators
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	l Annual Indi	icators
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera		l Annual Indi	icators
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11	not required for futu	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004	
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 es of hospitalization for asthma among children ages 5 - 14. Annual Performance Objective	not required for future and the second secon	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data		7.
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 es of hospitalization for asthma among children ages 5 - 14. Annual Performance Objective	2000 8.0 9.4	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003		7.
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 es of hospitalization for asthma among children ages 5 - 14. Annual Performance Objective	2000 8.0 9.4	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 8 7.1	mance Data 2003 7.9		7. Nal
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 es of hospitalization for asthma among children ages 5 - 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 8.0 9.4	Annual 2001 8 6.7	Objective and Perfor 2002 8 7.1	7.1		7. Na
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 es of hospitalization for asthma among children ages 5 - 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 8.0 9.4 238 252,389	Annual 2001 8 6.7	Objective and Perfor 2002 8 7.1	7.9 7.1		7. Nal
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 les of hospitalization for asthma among children ages 5 - 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 8.0 9.4 238 252,389	Annual 2001 8 6.7 165 247,398	Objective and Perfor 2002 8 7.1 175 247,235	7.9 7.1 175 247,235 Final		7.
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 es of hospitalization for asthma among children ages 5 - 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 8.0 9.4 238 252,389	Annual 2001 8 6.7 165 247,398	Objective and Perfor 2002 8 7.1	7.9 7.1 175 247,235 Final		7. Nal
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 ates of hospitalization for asthma among children ages 5 - 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 8.0 9.4 238 252,389	Annual 2001 8 6.7 165 247,398	Objective and Perfor 2002	7.9 7.1 175 247,235 Final	2004	7
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11 ates of hospitalization for asthma among children ages 5 - 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 8.0 9.4 238 252,389 2005 7.8	Annual 2001 8 6.7 165 247,398 Annual 2006 7.8	Objective and Perfor 2002	7.9 7.1 175 247,235 Final Tmance Data 2008	2004	7. Nal

TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 he rates of minority adolescent births.										
The rates of minority addieseent births.				Annual C	Objective ar	nd Borfor	manco Da	nto.		
	2000		2001	Alliluar	2002	iu renon	2003	<u>ata</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Obje	ective	45		19.5		19		18.5		18
•	icator			82.8		91.4		109.3		77.4
	erator			917		1,039		1,271		91
	inator			11,069		11,368		11,627		11,84
Is the Data Provisional or F		10, 100		11,000		11,000	Final	11,027	Final	11,01
				Annual C	Objective ar	nd Perfor	mance Da	ata		
	2005		2006		2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Obje Annual Ind Nume Denomi	icator erator Please not req		e Objectiv ure year d	76.2 es for the a	above years.	76 Numerat	or, Denom	75.9 ninator and	Annual In	
Annual Ind Nume Denomi	icator erator Please not req inator	fill in only th uired for futu	ıre year d		above years.		or, Denom		Annual In	
Annual Ind Numo	icator erator Please not req inator	fill in only th uired for futu	ıre year d	ves for the a	above years.	Numerat		ninator and	Annual In	
Annual Ind Nume Denomi	icator erator Please not req inator	fill in only th uired for futu	ıre year d	ves for the a	·	Numerat		ninator and	Annual In	
Annual Ind Nume Denomi	icator erator not req inator e during the fire	fill in only th uired for futt	ure year d	ves for the a	Objective ar	Numerat	mance Da	ninator and		dicators
Annual Ind Nume Denomi TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 The percent of African American women beginning prenatal car Annual Performance Objective Annual Performa	icator erator not req inator e during the fire	fill in only th uired for futu rst trimester.	ure year d	ves for the a	Objective ar	Numerat	mance Da	ninator and		dicators
Annual Ind Nume Denomi TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ne percent of African American women beginning prenatal car Annual Performance Obje Annual Ind	ective icator Please not required inator required the during the fire 2000 ective	fill in only the uired for futured for fut	ure year d	ves for the a ata. Annual C	Objective ar	Numerat	mance Da	ninator and		dicators g 72
Annual Ind Nume Denomi TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ne percent of African American women beginning prenatal car Annual Performance Obje Annual Ind Nume	ective icator Please not require during the fire 2000 ective icator	fill in only the uired for futured for fut	ure year d	Annual C	Objective ar	nd Perfor	mance Da	ata 90 72.1		9 72 1,11
Annual Ind Nume Denomi TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ne percent of African American women beginning prenatal car Annual Performance Obje Annual Ind Nume	ective icator please not require during the finator 2000 ective icator erator inator	fill in only the uired for futured for fut	ure year d	Annual C 90 67.6 928	Objective ar	90 69.5 998	mance Da	90 72.1 1,044		75. dicators 9 72. 1,11 1,54
Annual Ind Nume Denomi TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ne percent of African American women beginning prenatal car Annual Performance Obje Annual Ind Nume Denomi	ective icator please not require during the finator 2000 ective icator erator inator	fill in only the uired for futured for fut	ure year d	Annual C 90 67.6 928 1,373	Objective ar 2002	90 69.5 998 1,435	mance Da 2003 ——————————————————————————————————	90 72.1 1,044 1,447	2004	9 72.
Annual Ind Nume Denomi TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 The percent of African American women beginning prenatal car Annual Performance Object Annual Ind Nume Denomi	ective icator please not require during the finator 2000 ective icator erator inator	fill in only the uired for futured for fut	ure year d	Annual C 90 67.6 928 1,373	Objective ar	90 69.5 998 1,435	mance Da 2003 ——————————————————————————————————	90 72.1 1,044 1,447	2004	9 72.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 11

Nebraska relies on the SLAITS CSHCN survey for data on NPM 2,3,5,5,6. The Survey was last conducted in 2002.

Provisional data for NPM 10 and 16 is not accurate so unable to report until fall of 2005.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

1998-2001 data refers to guidance effective through April 20, 2003, which requires a slightly different data than the 2002 + indicators. Therefore, comparison of indicators from 1998-2001 & 2002 + is not possible.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

1998-2001 data refers to guidance effective through April 20, 2003 which requires a slightly different data than the 2002 + indicators. Therefore, comparision of indicators from 1998-2001& 2002 + is not possible.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

At annual review (8/10/05) Nebraska was asked to report of those who were screened how many received follow-up. In the past years Nebraska had been reporting those screened/live births. Therefore the data will not be comparable.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Only 2002 data available.

5. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data unavailable. Used 2002

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is unavailable for 2004. Used 2002.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Only 2002 data available.

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data unavailable. Used 2002

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is unavailable for 2004. Used 2002.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Only 2002 data available.

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data unavailable. Used 2002.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is unavailable for 2004. Usewd 2002.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Only 2002 data available.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data unavailable. Used 2002.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is unavailable for 2004. Used 2002.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This indicator did not meet the NCHS standards for reliability.

Only 2002 data available.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data unavailable. Used 2002.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is unavailable for 2004. Used 2002.

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 objectives.

Nebraska relies on CDC's National Immunization Survey (NIS) for it's data. The most current data available is July 01- June 02; therefore, 2002 data is unavailable at the time of submission. Numerator and Denominator information unavailable.

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Annual performance objective based in HP 2010 objectives.

Nebraska relies on CDC's National Immunization Survey (NIS) for it's data. Numerator and Denominator information unavailable.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Nebraska relies on NCHS National Immunization Survey (NIS) for current vaccination estimates. Num and Denom are not provided because they are unknown.

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Provisional data, birth file will be cleaned and complete in fall 2005.

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Nebraska currently does not have a system to measure this performance measure.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Nebraska does not currently have a system to measure this performance measure.

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance ojbective based on national 1998 baseline data, beginning in 2003.

Note: Rates based on small numbers may be unreliable.

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Provisonal data is way off, casue of death field in death file is not complete or cleaned. Should have final data by September (best estimate)

27. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Nebraska uses the Ross Laboratory Survey for this indicator. The most recent information is 2001. Numerator and denominator information is unavailable. Annual performance objectives based on HP Objectives.

28. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Nebraska uses the Ross Laboratory Survey for this indicator. The most recent information is 2002. Numerator and denominator information is available. Annual performance objectives based on HP Objectives.

29. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Nebraska uses the Ross Laboratory Survey for this indicator. The most recent information is 2003. Numerator and denominator is not available. Annual performance objectives based on HP Objectives.

30. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance objective based on Mebraska Newborn Hearing Statues which set the objective of 95% by 2003.

31. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Annual performance objective based on Nebrask'a Newborn Statutes which set the objective of 95% by 2003.

32. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2001 & 2002 data is for children 0-18 years old.

Source: Nebraska Medicaid.

33. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2002 & 2003 data is children 0-18 year old.

34. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data is uninsured children 0-18 year old<200%FPL . Data is a Medicaid estimate.

I am reseting targets for 2% yearly improvment.

35. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Nebraska Medicaid

36. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Nebraska Medicaid

37. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

SFY04 MRS 115-1 Annual REport and Annual SCHIP Report

38. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 ojectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines".

39. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines".

40. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance objective based on HP 2010 objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit line."

Note: Rates based on small numbers may be unrealiable.

41. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Annual performance objective based on HP 2010 objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit line."

42. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Provisonal data is way off, casue of death field in death file is not complete or cleaned. Should have final data by September (best estimate)

43. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Levels of perinatal care are not formally established/ monitored except for Medicaid reimbursement purposes. Therefore, facilities for high-risk deliveries & neonates for this performance measure are based on Medicaid reimbursement information. In 2001, the number of levels 3 (testing) hospitals was reduced from 10 hospital to 4 hospitals. This reductions is reflected in the decreased in percentage of VLBW infants delivered at high risk facilities in 2001 & 2003.

In adition, this does not reflect Nebraska resident infants that may be born at a high risk facilities outside of Nebraska (for example, at Children's Hospital in Denver, CO and Sacred Heart Hospital in Yankton, S.D.)

44. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name:

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Levels of perinatal care are not formally established/monitored except for Medicaid reimbursement purposes. Therefore, facilities for high-risk deliveries & neotates for this

performance measure are based on Medicaid reimbursement information. In 2001, the number of level 3 (testing) hospitals were reduced from 10 hospitals to 4 hospitals. These reductions are reflected in the decrease in percentage of VLBW infants delivered at high risk facilities beginning in 2001. In addition, this does not reflect Nebraska resident infants that be be born at high risk facilities (for example, at Children's Hospital in Denver, CO and Sacred Heart Hospital in Yankton, SD)

45. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Levels of perinatal care are not formally established/monitored except for Medicaid reimbursement purposes. Therefore, facilities for high-risk deliveries & neotates for this performance measure are based on those reimburesed by Medicaid. In 2001, the number of level 3 (testing) hospitals were reduced from 10 hospitals to 4 hospitals. These reductions are reflected in the decrease in percentage of VLBW infants delivered at high risk facilities beginning in 2001. In addition, this does not reflect Nebraska resident infants that be be born at high risk facilities (for example, at Children's Hospital in Denver, CO and Sacred Heart Hospital in Yankton, SD)

I reset targets to hit 90% in five years

46. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance ojbectives based on HP 2010 objectives.

47. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 objectives.

48. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Reset targets to meet HP2010 of 90% in 5 years

49. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: Retired.

50. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Rates are based on 5 year averages. Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 Objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines".

Note: Rates based on small numbers may be unreliable.

51. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Because numbers are so small this is (and has been) a 5 year average

52. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 data unavailable.

Annual performance objectives based o HP 2010 objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines."

53. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

"Women of child-bearing age" are women between the ages of 18-44.

Source: Nebraska BFRSS

54. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Weighted data so num and denom are estimates. - BRFSS. I have re set the targets to reach HP2010 of 12% in 5 years.

55. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Rates based on small numbers may be unreliable. Annual performance objective based on HP 2010 Objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit line."

56. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Rates based on small numbers may be unreliable. Annual performance objective based on HP 2010 Objectives beginning in 2003, "best fit line."

57. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 data unavailable.

58. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data unavailable.

59. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Hospital Discharge Data not available until October 2005. I reset targets to represent a 2% yearly improvment.

60. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

State performance measure wording was changed in 2001 to reflect the HP 2010 objective. As a result, comparison to data presented prior to 2001 due are not available.

Annual performance objective based on HP 2010 Objectives beginning in 2001, using "best fit lines".

61. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

State performance measure wording was changed in 2001 to reflect the HP 2010 objective. As a result, comparison to data presented prior to 2001 are not available.

Nebraska's YBRS is administered every other year. Currently YRBS does not include NE's largest school district, Omaha Public Schools. This creats invalidity for statewide analysis.

Weighted data set - therefore, num and dem are estimates.

62. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Nebraska's YBRS is administered every other year, therefore data is from 2003. Currently YRBS does not include NE's largest school district, Omaha Public Schools. This creats invalidity for statewide analysis.

I reset target to reflect a 2% yearly improvement

63. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: retired.

64. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 data unavailable at time of submission.

65. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data unavailable at time of submission.

66. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data unavailable at time of submission.

67. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Reporting has improved due to training of the Clinic's Lead Worker & availability of an easy printable reporting form in the Connect database system.

68. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Reporting has improved due to training of the Clinic's Lead Worker and availability of an easy printable reporting form in the Connect database system.

69. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data decrepency (thought) due to new data wharehousing system; NE CONNECT.

70. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 data unavailable at time of submission.

Annual performance objective based on HP 2010 Objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines" (based on 24-26, children & adults aged 5 to 64 years)

71. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data unavailable at time of submission.

Annual performance objective based on HP 2010 Obectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines" (based on 24-26, children & adults aged 5 to 64 years)

72. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Hospital Discharge Data not availabe until October 2005,

73. Section Number: State Performance Measure #12

Field Name: SM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

74. Section Number: State Performance Measure #12

Field Name: SM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Annual Performance Objective is based on Nebraska's 2010 Objective for adolescent pregnancies, modified, because pregancy rates cannot be calculated by race/ethnicity because abortion data does not include race/ethnicity.

75. Section Number: State Performance Measure #13

Field Name: SM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: Retired

76. Section Number: State Performance Measure #14

Field Name: SM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

I have reset targets to reach HP2010 of 90% in 5 years.

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: NE

OUTCOME MEASURE # 01					
The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
		Annua	l Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	7.7	7.1	7	6.7	6.4
Annual Indicator	7.2	6.8	7.0	5.4	6.5
Numerator	178	168	178	141	171
Denominator	24,643	24,818	25,381	25,900	26,29
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annua	I Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.4	6.
Annual Indicator					
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02 he ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	rate.				
		<u>Annua</u>	I Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2000	<u>Annua</u> 2001	I Objective and Perfo	rmance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	2000		2002		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2.
	2000 1.3 3.2	2001 1.3 1.6	2002 3 1.3 5 3.4	2003	2.
Annual Indicator	2000 1.3 3.2 20.4	2001 1.3 1.6	2002 3 1.3 6 3.4 2 20.9	2003 3 3.3	2. 2. 16.
Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 1.3 3.2 20.4 6.4	1.6 10.2	2002 3 1.3 6 3.4 2 20.9	3 3.3 15.9	2.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 1.3 3.2 20.4 6.4	1.3 1.6 10.2 6.5	2002 3 1.3 6 3.4 2 20.9 6.1	2003 3 3.3 15.9 4.8 Final	2. 2. 16.: 5.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 1.3 3.2 20.4 6.4	1.3 1.6 10.2 6.5	2002 3 1.3 6 3.4 2 20.9 6 6.1	2003 3 3.3 15.9 4.8 Final	2.3 2.4 16.3 5.4 Provisional
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 1.3 3.2 20.4 6.4 2005	1.3 1.6 10.2 6.5 Annua 2006	2002 3 1.3 6 3.4 2 20.9 6 6.1	2003 3 3.3 15.9 4.8 Final Tmance Data 2008	2.3 2.4 16.2 5.8 Provisional
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	2000 1.3 3.2 20.4 6.4 2005	1.3 1.6 10.2 6.5 Annua	2002 3 1.3 6 3.4 2 20.9 6 6.1 I Objective and Perforation 2007	2003 3 3.3 15.9 4.8 Final Tmance Data 2008	2.3 2.4 16.3 5.4 Provisional
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 1.3 3.2 20.4 6.4 2005	2001 1.3 1.6 10.2 6.5 Annua 2006 2.7	2002 3 1.3 6 3.4 2 20.9 6 6.1	2003 3 3.3 15.9 4.8 Final Tmance Data 2008 2.7	2. 2. 16. 5. Provisional

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03								
the neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.								
			-	e and Perfor		ata		
	2000	2001	2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			5.3	5.2		4.6		4.3
Annual Indicator	4.8		4.8	4.8	_	3.7		4.
Numerator	119		119	121		96		10
Denominator	24,643	24,	818	25,381		25,900		26,29
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	nal
		Anı	nual Obiectiv	ve and Perfor	rmance Da	ata		
	2005	2006	2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	4.1		4	4		4		
Annual Indicator								
Annual Indicator Numerator	Diamentili in anticiti	ne Objectives for	the above y	ears. Numera	tor, Denor	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	the above y	ears. Numera	tor, Denor	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	the above y	ears. Numera	tor, Denor	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	the above y	ears. Numera	tor, Denor	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	the above y	ears. Numera	tor, Denor	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ure year data.		ears. Numera			Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data. Ann 2001		re and Perfor			Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data. Ann 2001	nual Objectiv	ve and Perfor	rmance Da			
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Ann 2001	nual Objectiv 2002	re and Perfor	rmance Da	ata		
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Ann 2001	2002 2.6	ve and Perfor	rmance Da	ata 2.1		2.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Anı 2001	2002 2.6	ve and Perfor 2.5 2.2 57	rmance Da	2.1 1.7		2. 6 26,29
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2.6 2.4 59 24,643	Anı 2001	2002 2.6 2.0 49	ve and Perfor 2.5 2.2 57	rmance Da	2.1 1.7 45		2. 6 26,29
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.6 2.4 59 24,643	Anı 2001	2002 2.6 2.0 49	2.5 2.2 57 25,381	rmance Da 2003 Final	2.1 1.7 45 25,900	2004	2. 6 26,29
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.6 2.4 59 24,643	Anı 2001	2002 2.6 2.0 49	2.5 2.2 57 25,381	rmance Da 2003 Final	2.1 1.7 45 25,900	2004	2. 6 26,29
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.6 2.4 59 24,643	Anı 2001 24,	2002 2.6 2.0 49 818	2.5 2.2 57 25,381	rmance Da 2003 Final	2.1 1.7 45 25,900	2004 Provision	2. 6 26,29
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2.6 2.4 59 24,643	2001 Ani 2006	2002 2.6 2.0 49 818 aual Objectiv 2007	2.5 2.2 57 25,381 re and Perfor	Final Cmance Day 2003	2.1 1.7 45 25,900	2004 Provision	2. 6 26,29 nal
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.6 2.4 59 24,643 2005	2001 Ani 2006 Ani	2002 2.6 2.0 49 818 aual Objectiv 2007	2.5 2.2 57 25,381 re and Perfor	Final Cmance Day 2003	2.1 1.7 45 25,900	2004 Provision	2 26,29 nal

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05					
The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		10	9.9	9.6	8.
Annual Indicator	10.2	10.5	10.3	9.5	
Numerator	266	262	262	247	
Denominator	25,952	24,961	25,381	26,051	26,29
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Δnnual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	8.1	8.1	8	8	
Annual Indicator					
	Places fill in only th	- Obi 4: 4 4b -	Ni		
			anove vears infimera	tor Thenominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure vear data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
OUTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for fut	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	not required for futu	ure year data.			2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective	not required for future 2000	Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 17.5	mance Data 2003 22.4	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 18 22.0	Annual 2001 18 22.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 17.5 23.2	2003 22.4 24.6	2004 21. 22.
PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 18 22.0 76	Annual 2001 18 22.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 17.5 23.2	2003 22.4 24.6 84	2004 21. 22.
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 18 22.0 76 345,968	Annual 2001 18 22.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 17.5 23.2	2003 22.4 24.6 84 341,222	2004 21. 22. 7 341,12
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 18 22.0 76 345,968	Annual 2001 18 22.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 17.5 23.2	2003 22.4 24.6 84	2004 21. 22.
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 18 22.0 76 345,968	Annual 2001 18 22.9 78 340,793	Objective and Perfor 2002 17.5 23.2	2003 22.4 24.6 84 341,222 Final	2004 21. 22. 7 341,12
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 18 22.0 76 345,968	Annual 2001 18 22.9 78 340,793	Objective and Perfor 2002 17.5 23.2 79 340,964	2003 22.4 24.6 84 341,222 Final	2004 21. 22. 7 341,12
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 18 22.0 76 345,968	Annual 2001 18 22.9 78 340,793	Objective and Perfor 2002 17.5 23.2 79 340,964	2003 22.4 24.6 84 341,222 Final	2004 21. 22. 7 341,12 Provisional
PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 18 22.0 76 345,968 2005 22.6	Annual 2001 18 22.9 78 340,793 Annual 2006 22.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 17.5 23.2 79 340,964 Objective and Perfor 2007 22.5	22.4 24.6 84 341,222 Final mance Data 2008 22.5	2004 21. 22. 7 341,12 Provisional 2009
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 18 22.0 76 345,968 2005	Annual 2001 18 22.9 78 340,793 Annual 2006 22.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 17.5 23.2 79 340,964 Objective and Perfor 2007	22.4 24.6 84 341,222 Final mance Data 2008 22.5	2004 21 22 341,12 Provisional 2009

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 12

Set new targets using a caluation that estimates a 2% improvement per year. Because these six outcomes reflect rates per 1,000 a 2% change per year will be seen in the 100ths decimall place. The online system does not allow for (0.00) and is rounding up. Therefore the targets appear to be the same when they are not.

example OM #!1 - 2% improvement

2005 = 6.5 or 6.48 2006 = 6.5 or 6.47

2007= 6.5 or 6.46 2008= 6.4 or 6.44

2009= 6.4 or 6.43

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance objective based on HP 2010 objectives in 2003, using "best fit lines."

2. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Annual performance objectice based on HP 2010 objectives in 2003, using "best fit lines."

3. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Provisonal data.

4. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 goals # 2: "Eliminate Health "Disparities" beginning in 2003, using "best fit line."

5. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 goals # 2: "Eliminate Health "Disparities" beginning in 2003, using "best fit line."

6. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Provisonal data.

7. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines".

8. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines."

9. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Provisonal data.

 Section Number: Outcome Measure 4 Field Name: OM04

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines".

11. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003

Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines".

12. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Provisonal data.

13. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines".

14. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines".

15. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Provisional data inaccurate. Data will be available in fall of 2005.

16. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines", based on 16-3a adolescents aged 10 to 14.

17. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6 Field Name: OM06

Field Name: OMG Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives based on HP 2010 objectives beginning in 2003, using "best fit lines", based on 16-3a adolescents aged 10 to 14.

18. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Provisional data

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: NE 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 3 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 3 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 2 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 2 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 1 Total Score: 14 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met 3 = Completely Met

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 13
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: NE FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Reduce the rates of overweight women, youth, and children by increasing participation in sufficient physical activity and improving nutrition.
- 2. Reduce the percent of women of child-bearing age, particularly pregnant and post-partum women, and adolescents who use tobacco and reduce the percent of infants, children and youth exposed to second hand smoke
- 3. Reduce rates of premature and low birth weight births for all women, with attention to adolescent pregnancy.
- 4. Reduce the rates of hospitalizations and deaths due to unintentional injuries for children and youth.
- 5. Reduce the number and rates of child abuse, neglect, and intentional injuries of children.
- 6. Reduce the rates of infant mortality, especially racial/ethnic disparities.
- 7. Reduce alcohol use among youth.
- 8. Increase capacity of community-based medical home providers to detect and refer for reatment women, children, and youth with emotional and behavioral health conditions.
- 9. Increase capacity of Title V Programs for Children with Special Health Care Needs to serve increased numbers of children meeting medical and financial eligibility criteria and who are uninsured or underinsured.
- 10. Build capacity of Title V programs for Children with Special Health Care Needs to provide transition medical and dental clinics for youth with special health care needs 14-21 years.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 14
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: NE APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known)
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Assistance in bridging CAST-5 and National Public Health Performance Standards assessment and developing MCH capacity building strategic plan	Unique opportunity to build MCH capacity within context of larger public health planning efforts	(max 250 characters) Women's and Children's Health Policy Center or Oregon Office of Family Health
2.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
3.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
4.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 15
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: NE

SP# 3

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Incidence of confirmed SIDS cases (per 1,000 live births) among African American and Native American infants

STATUS: Act

GOAL To further reduce infant deaths in Nebraska due to SIDS

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of investigated and confirmed cases of SIDS deaths among African American and Native American infants

(combined)

Denominator:

Number of live births among African American and Native American infants (combined)

Units: 1000 Text: Rate per thousand

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Birth certificate data in NDHHS vital records provide information on live births among infants by racial/ethnic background.

Because numbers are very small for this measure, a 5-year average is used to provide indicator data. Data collected by Nebraska Child Death Review Team is used to determine the number of investigated and confirmed cases of SIDS deaths among all infants, and is available by racial/ethnic background. It is important to note that child death investigations in rural and small urban counties in the state may be inconsistent with investigations in large urban counties. Individual law enforcement investigations in Lincoln and Omaha have participated in numerous child death scene investigations, whereas

their colleagus in smaller communities may not have encountered one in many years.

SIGNIFICANCE

While the incidence of SIDS in Nebraska has decreased by over 50% since the inception of the "Back To Sleep" campaign in 1994, the rate of SIDS deaths among African American and Native American infants in the state still remains over three

times that of white infants .

4

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women of child-bearing age who report smoking in the last 30 days

STATUS:

GOAL To promote healthy lifestyles in women of child-bearing age

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of women of child-bearing age who report smoking on annual risk factor survey

Denominator:

Number of women of child-bearing age participating in the annual risk factor survey in Nebraska during calendar year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

The Nebraska Behavioral Risk Factor Survey, conducted annually, provides the required data for this measure. Women of child-bearing age is no longer defined by MCHB, so may not offer consistency to a similar measure by other states. **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

Normally, women of child bearing age starts at either age 10 or 14, but because data is provided inconsistently and at different intervals for ages10-17 (YRBS) than for ages 18-44 (BRFS), it was decided to include only the age tracked by BRFS. Minorities are not well represented in the BRFS and HHS has under taken a Minority BRFS, but was only able to do

it one time.

Healthy living among women of child-bearing age increases the chances of healthy birth outcomes, as well as the quality of life in women and their children. Abstinence from smoking and alcohol use, as well as healthy eating and exercise, all contribute to a healthy lifestyle. SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Incidence of neural tube defects (per 10,000 live births)

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the prevalence of neural tube defects

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of cases of spina bifida and anencephaly in calendar year

Denominator:

Number of live births in Nebraska in the calendar year

Units: 10000 Text: Rate per ten thousand

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Birth defects surveillance data, birth certificate data in NDHHS vital records. Neural tube defects are defined as spina bifida and anencephaly and classified according to the British Pediatric Association (BPA) Classification of Disease World Health Organization's 1979 International Classification of Diseases 9th Edition. Clinical modification (ICD-9-CM) with modification

developed by the National Centers for Disease Control.

SIGNIFICANCE Neural tube defects are among the most common birth defects contributing to significant infant morbidity and mortality in the U.S. One-half to two-thirds can be prevented by the daily use of folic acid in women of child-bearing age. The incidence of

NTDs in Nebraska is significantly higher than the national rate. Preventing NTDs is a major opportunity to reduce mortality and morbidity in babies and children. Blood folates may be an additional means for determing whether a population of

women of child-bearing age are consuming adequate amounts of folic acid.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Hospitalizations for injuries (per 100,000), birth to 14 (intentional and unintentional)

STATUS: Active

Promote safe environments and behaviors for all children and their caretakers GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of discharges from NE hospitals for injuries among children, birth to 14 years of age

Denominator:

Number of children 0-14 years of age

Units: 100000 Text: Rate per hundred thousand

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

The source for hospital discharge data is the Nebraska Hospital Discharge Database. Hospitalizations includes inpatient discharges from Nebraska acute care hospitals. Population estimates are found through U.S. Census Bureau current **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

population survey data.

Unintentional injury ranks as one of our most pressing public health problems. It is the leading cause of death in Nebraska **SIGNIFICANCE**

among children age 1-14, as determined by the Nebraska Child Death Review Team for children dying in the state in 1993 -1995. Awareness and action to prevent the occurence and severity of injuries must occur at all levels (state, community,

homes and families) to be most effective.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of teens who report use of alcohol in last 30 days

STATUS: Active

To promote healthy lifestyles among youth GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of high school students that reported they drank alcohol in the last 30 days

Denominator:

Number of high school students in survey

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The Youth Risk Behavior Survey is the source for this data and is administered bi-annually. A number of large, urban schools with students in grades 9-12 chose not to participate in the YRBS. In those large schools that did not participate, a larger than expected number of students did not complete the survey. This means that these survey results for grades 9-12 provide an important description of the priority health risk behaviors of the survey participants. The total sample, however, is not truly representative of the state's grade 9-12 students because it under-represents Nebraska's urban adolescents.

Alcohol is the most commonly used drug in Nebraska among teens. Alcohol increases the likelihood of sexual activity, SIGNIFICANCE

exposing youth to STDs and HIV. Alcohol is responsible for a high percentage of teen motor vehicle accidents, and has a

strong influence on morbidity and mortality.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Medicaid-participating dentists who see an average of 25 or more Medicaid patients per month

STATUS: Active

GOAL Improve access to dentistry services for Medicaid-eligible children

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of Medicaid-participating dentists who see an average of 25 or more patients per month in the fiscal year

Denominator:

Number of Medicaid-participating dentists in the fiscal year

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

NDHHS Financial and Program Analysis Unit provides all information for this measure.

SIGNIFICANCE

Access to dental care is a large issue in Nebraska for the Medicaid-eligible population. Reimbursement rates from Medicaid are significantly lower than the costs to dentists to provide services, and documentation necessary in order to receive reimbursement are cumbersome and time-consuming. Many dentists in Nebraska choose not to participate in Medicaid, and many of those that do participate with Medicaid have only a handful of Medicaid patients. Onging collaborator efforts are underway via the Nebraska Dental Health Division, Nebraska Medicaid, and the Nebraska Dental Association to address

these issues.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of CSHCN seen at CSHCN multidisciplinary team clinics who receive recommended nutritional follow-up services

STATUS: Active

To identify CSHCN requiring nutritional services and assure their provision of such services GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of CSHCN identified as needing nutritional follow-up services by the CSHCN multidisciplinary team clinic during the

year who received such services

Denominator:Number of CSHCN identified as needing nutritional follow-up services during the year

Units: 100 **Text:** 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

MHCP clinic statistics are the primary source for this data. Nutritional payment records for MHCP, Medicaid Home and Community-Based Aged and Disabled Waiver, and Medicaid EPSDT programs will also verify this data. **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

Children with Special Health Care Needs require adequate nutrition, as do all children. However, CSHCN are at increased **SIGNIFICANCE** risk for poor nutrition due to the physical or developmental problems, drug-nutrient interactions, chronic medical conditions or feeding problems associated with their disability or chronic illness.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Rates of hospitalization for asthma among children ages 5 - 14.

STATUS: Active

Reduce hospitalization rates due to asthma for children ages 5-14. GOAL

DEFINITION Units: Rate per 10,000 (Number) (Text)

Numerator: Number of hospitalizatons of children ages 5-14 hospitalized due to asthma.

Denominator:

Number of children in Nebraska ages 5-14.

Units: 10000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Hospital Discharge Data (HDD) provided by hospitals to the Nebraska Association of Hospitals and Health Systems (NAHHS). Ninety-three (100%) Nebraska acute care hospitals reported patient information to NAHHS. The three regional centers, three veterans hospitals, the Beatrice State Development Center, the Indian Health Services Winnebago Health Center and the military hospital at Offutt Air Force Base do not report patient discharge information to NAHHS. Source: Asthma Surveillance Report, October 2000. These indicators measure inpatient discharge data only. Population estimates are available through the LLS. Census Burgary.

are available through the U.S. Census Bureau.

SIGNIFICANCE Hospitalization rates and death rates due to asthma are increasing in Nebraska. This is an age-adjusted death rate of

1.9/100.000, higher than the US death rate of 1.4/100,000.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The rates of minority adolescent births.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To lower the birth rate among minority adolescents.

DEFINITION Units: 1,000 Rate per 1,000 (Number) (Text)

Numerator: Number of live births to minority adolescent females in the calendar year (combined).

Denominator:

Number of minority adolescent females in the calendar year.

Units: 1000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Birth and death certificate data in NDHHS vital records provide information on live births by racial/ethnic background and age. Population estimates are available through the US Census Bureau. **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

Adolescent parents are less likely to complete high school and more likely to experience economic hardships than are older **SIGNIFICANCE**

parents. Nebraska has an infant mortality problem. Adolescent, non-marital and unintended pregnancies, according to research, end in higher rates of infant mortality. Nebraska's teen mothers are less likely to begin first trimester prenatal care and more likely to not receive prenatal care than any other age group. In Nebraska, between 25-30% of teen mothers will have more than one pregnancy before they turn 20. This is higher than the national average of 20-22%. Nebraska's minority

populations have a higher rate of adolescent birth than does the overall population.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of African American women beginning prenatal care during the first trimester.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To improve the birth outcomes of African American women.

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of African American beginning prenatal care during the first trimester

Denominator:Number of African American women giving birth

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Nebraska Vital Statistics

SIGNIFICANCE Early entry into prenatal care results in improved birth outcomes. FORM NOTES FOR FORM 16
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: NE

HEALTH SYSTEMS	CAPACITY	MEASURE #	01
----------------	----------	-----------	----

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data							
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Annual Indicator	15.5	15.3	15.9	18.1	NaN			
Numerator	181	179	186	219	0			
Denominator	117,048	116,701	117,058	120,746	0			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional			

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data							
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Annual Indicator	88.0	92.9	97.5	97.2	81.7			
Numerator	8,778	10,006	11,637	11,811	10,315			
Denominator	9,978	10,769	11,941	12,153	12,618			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final			

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	100.0	30.3	34.6	48.7	91.9
Numerator	41	37	45	904	1,096
Denominator	41	122	130	1,856	1,192
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

Is the Data

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicator	71.7	72.6	73.6	73.6	71.1	
Numerator	17,669	18,008	18,677	19,074	18,670	
Denominator	24,643	24,818	25,381	25,900	26,273	
a Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 07

The percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who have received any dental services during the year.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	56.5	54.6	57.5	64.5	57.8
Numerator	13,704	16,598	18,398	18,308	17,525
Denominator	24,245	30,405	31,978	28,398	30,301
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08

The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving rehabilitative services from the State Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Program.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	36.5	36.9	36.5	36.2	29.6
Numerator	1,020	1,015	1,088	1,060	938
Denominator	2,794	2,752	2,981	2,927	3,165
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 17

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 data unavailable.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2002 data unavailable.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data avaialbe in October 2005

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2001 Data Source: FFY01 HCFA-416 report. Information reported is for all Medicaid eligible children, including SCHIP and Children enrolled in Medicaid Managed Care.

Age as of 9/30/01.

2002 Data Source: FFY02 CMS-416 report. Information reported is for all Medicaid eligible children, including SCHIP and Children enrolled in Medicaid Managed Care. Age

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data Source: FFY02 HCFA-416 report. Information reported is for all Medicaid eligible children, including SCHIP and Children enrolled in Medicaid Managed Care.

Age as of 9-31-03.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Medicaid = Title XIX + Title XXI

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2001 Data Source: Modified FFY01 HCFA - 416 report.

2002 Data Source: Modified FFY02 CMS-416 report.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 Data Source: Modified FFY02 HCFA -416 report.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: SCHIP= Title XXI

10. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2001 Data Source: FFY01 HCFA-416 report. Information reported is for all Medicaid eligible children, including SCHIP. Age as of 9/30/01.

2002 Data Source: FFY02 CMS-416 report. Information reported is for all Medicaid eligible children, including SCHIP. Age of as of 9/30/02.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 Data Source: FFY03 SMC-416 report. Information reported is for all Medicaid eligible children, including SCHIP. Age as of 9/30/03.

12. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Total SSI eligible children under age 16 in Nebraska as of 12/2002 = 3100, receiving SSI DCP benefits = 892.

*Does not count contacts and actitivies of outreach to those who do not receive enabling services benefits/purchases in their behalf.

This % is lower than expected. However, all SSI eligible children referrals received from NDOE, Disability Determinations Section (a) are not counted/entered into the CSHCN System (b) until the family has decided the seek benefits from one of the CSHCN programs and that (c) CSHCN may not provide benefits some families requires. These factors and the presence of S-CHIP benefits serving more children have acted to lower CSHCN counts.

13. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Total SSI eligible children under age 16 in Nebraska as of 12/2002 = 3100, receiving SSI CCP benefits=892.

*Does not count contacts and activities of outreach to those who do not receive enabling services benefit/purchase in their behalf.

This % is lower than expected. However, all SSI eligible children referrals received from NDOE, Disability Determinations Section (a) are not counted/entered into the CSHCN System (b) until the family has decided the seek benefits from one of the CSHCN programs and that (c) CSHCN may not provide benefits some families require. These factors and the presence of the S-CHIP benefits serving more children have acted to lower CSHCN counts.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: NE

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	V=15	2.2. 222		POPULATION		
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL	
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Matching data files	7.9	6.2	7	
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2003	Matching data files	6.4	4.8	5.5	
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Matching data files	74.3	90.6	83.3	
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Matching data files	67.6	79	73.6	

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: NE

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	<u>150</u>
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 18) (Age range 0)	2004	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2004	150

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: NE

YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
2004	185_
2004	185 185
2004	185_
	2004

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 18

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP_Women Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

If the pregnant women is 18 years or younger.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name:

Year: 2006
Field Note:
This data is for 2003 the most recent matched files available. The system says that 83.3 does not match what was entred for National Performance Measure 18however, for

2003 Nebraska entered 83.3

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: NE

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	3	Yes
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: NE

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2	No
Other:		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2	No
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other:		

*Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is not large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 19
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: NE

	2000		2001		Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u> 2003		2004	
Annual Indicator		6.9		6.7	7.2		6.9		7.1
Numerator	r	1,690		1,655	1,820		1,794		1,859
Denominator	_	_		24,818	25,381		25,900		26,323
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Final	
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B									
ne percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator D	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	·			3.9	4.2		5.1		5.0
Numerator				961	1,057		1,282		1,274
Denominator	r	23,874		24,818	25,381		25,032		25,333
Is the Data Provisional or Final?)					Final		Final	
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A									
ne percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator D				
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	· ——			1.3	1.3		1.2		1.2
	r			322	331		315		327
Dan amin atau		04 040		24,818	25,381		05.000		
Denominator	r	24,643		24,010	20,00		25,900		26,323

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	6.2		12.4	12.1	NaN
	23		45	44	
		363,968	364,293	363,707	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B					
he death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children	aged 14 years and y	ounger due to motor	vehicle crashes.		
			Annual Indicator Da		
A	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	4.9		4.9	6.6	Nal
	18			24	
Denominator	369,427	363,968	364,293	363,707	
				E' I	Bar tata and
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Provisional
		ng youth aged 15 thro	ough 24 years.	Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C		ng youth aged 15 thro	ugh 24 years. Annual Indicator D		Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor v	ehicle crashes amor	2001	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C he death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor v	ehicle crashes amor	2001	Annual Indicator Da 2002	ata 2003 34.4	2004 Nal
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C he death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor v	ehicle crashes amor	2001	Annual Indicator Da 2002	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004 Nal
REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor v Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 29.4	2001	Annual Indicator Day 2002 44.5 115	ata 2003 34.4	

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	20.3	17.9	12.6	13.2	NaN
Numerator	75	65	46	48	0
Denominator	369,427	363,968	364,293	363,707	0
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
ne rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years.	Annual Indicator Da	ata.	
	2000	2001	2002	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
Annual Indicator	125.8	110.4	104.7	97.9	NaN
Numerator	321	284	271	260	(
		257,222		265,524	(
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
				Final	Provisional
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	case of chlamydia.	2004	Annual Indicator Da	ata	
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.	2001	2002	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported o	case of chlamydia.	14.8	2002 19.3	ata 2003 20.2	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 21.2	14.8 957	19.3 1,238	ata 2003 20.2 1,288	2004 21.6 1,376
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 21.2 1,392 65,674	14.8 957	2002 19.3	ata 2003 20.2	2004 21.6 1,376
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 21.2 1,392 65,674	14.8 957	19.3 1,238	2003 20.2 1,288 63,669	2004 21.6 1,376 63,686
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 21.2 1,392 65,674	14.8 957	19.3 1,238	2003 20.2 1,288 63,669	2004 21.6 1,376 63,686
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 21.2 1,392 65,674	14.8 957	19.3 1,238 64,193	2003 20.2 1,288 63,669 Final	2004 21.6 1,376 63,686
LEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of through 19 years with a reported of through 19 years with a reported of through 19 yea	2000 21.2 1,392 65,674	14.8 957	19.3 1,238	2003 20.2 1,288 63,669 Final	2004 21.6 1,376 63,686
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 21.2 1,392 65,674 case of chlamydia.	14.8 957 64,774	19.3 1,238 64,193 Annual Indicator Da	2003 20.2 1,288 63,669 Final	2004 21.6 1,376 63,686 Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of Annual Indicator	2000 21.2 1,392 65,674 case of chlamydia.	14.8 957 64,774 2001 4.0	19.3 1,238 64,193 Annual Indicator Da 2002	ata 2003 20.2 1,288 63,669 Final	2004 21.6 1,376 63,686 Final

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Provisional data.

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Cause of death in the death file is not complete or clean- data available in fall 2005

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Cause of death in the death file is not complete or clean- data should be available in September 2005

5. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

unavailable for 2001 and 2002.

6. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Cause of death in the death file is not complete or clean- data available in fall 2005

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 hospital discharge data unavailable at the time of submission.

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: a

 Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A Field Name: HSI04A

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Hospital Discharge Data will not be available until October 2005

10. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 hospital discharge data unavailable at the time of submission

11. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Hospital Discharge Data will not be available until October 2005

12. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name:

Page 83 of 102

Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

2002 hospital discharge data unavailable at time of submission.

13. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C Field Name: HSI04C

Field Name: HS Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Hospital Discharge Data will not be avaialable until October 2005

14. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A Field Name: HSI05A Row Name:

Field Name: HS Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: NE

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	22,342	19,937	1,480	441	484	0	0	0
Children 1 through 4	89,300	79,917	5,768	1,623	1,992	0	0	0
Children 5 through 9	113,644	102,294	7,051	1,819	2,480	0	0	0
Children 10 through 14	119,080	107,862	7,058	1,742	2,418	0	0	0
Children 15 through 19	124,214	113,557	7,158	1,592	1,907	0	0	0
Children 20 through 24	122,988	113,095	6,500	1,428	1,965	0	0	0
Children 0 through 24	591,568	536,662	35,015	8,645	11,246	0	0	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	22,342	1,312	0
Children 1 through 4	89,300	5,312	0
Children 5 through 9	113,644	6,556	0
Children 10 through 14	119,080	7,235	0
Children 15 through 19	124,214	6,536	0
Children 20 through 24	122,988	6,210	0
Children 0 through 24	591,568	33,161	0

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: NE

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	24	14	8	1	0	0	0	1
Women 15 through 17	670	512	108	34	6	0	0	10
Women 18 through 19	1,596	1,253	200	80	22	0	0	41
Women 20 through 34	20,979	18,717	1,141	299	488	0	0	334
Women 35 or older	3,053	2,822	86	24	82	0	0	39
Women of all ages	26,322	23,318	1,543	438	598	0	0	425

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	19	5	0
Women 15 through 17	477	172	21
Women 18 through 19	1,220	296	80
Women 20 through 34	17,343	2,686	950
Women 35 or older	2,657	287	109
Women of all ages	21,716	3,446	1,160

FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

STATE: NE

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	171	137	25	5	1	0	0	3
Children 1 through 4	37	28	5	1	1	0	0	2
Children 5 through 9	17	14	2	0	0	0	0	1
Children 10 through 14	23	21	2	0	0	0	0	0
Children 15 through 19	80	69	8	1	2	0	0	0
Children 20 through 24	75	67	5	2	0	0	0	1
Children 0 through 24	403	336	47	9	4	0	0	7

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	137	29	5
Children 1 through 4	33	4	0
Children 5 through 9	12	5	0
Children 10 through 14	19	2	2
Children 15 through 19	71	4	5
Children 20 through 24	69	6	0
Children 0 through 24	341	50	12

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: NE

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Final

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	468,580	423,567.0	28,515.0	7,217.0	9,281.0				2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	10.6	9.0	34.6	15.9	3.4		33.2	29.7	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	4.7	2.3	22.3	22.2	1.9				2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	112,531	83,138.0	18,995.0	5,060.0	1,417.0	69.0	723.0	3,129.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	18,899	16,104.0	1,629.0	396.0	269.0	7.0	76.0	418.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	4,996	3,229.0	754.0	322.0	18.0	5.0	60.0	608.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	61,477	34,826.0	13,096.0	3,051.0	585.0	42.0	479.0	9,398.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	51,538	39,244.0	6,006.0	4,069.0	886.0	30.0	1,118.0	185.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	850.0	690.0	130.0	20.0	3.0				2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	1.9	1.3	5.0	7.1	1.8				2004

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	468,580.0	26,161.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	10.0	23.8		2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	0	0	0	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid		22,567.0	0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP		4,232.0	0	2004
Number living in foster home care	825.0	506.0	3,665.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	8,131.0	8,533.0	44,813.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	35,489.0	15,866.0	183.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests			850.0	2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)		4.9		2004

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: NE

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL
Living in metropolitan areas	251,310
Living in urban areas	357,999
Living in rural areas	<u>85,311</u>
Living in frontier areas	52,221
Total - all children 0 through 19	495,531

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: NE

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL	
Total Population	1,725,000.0	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	4.3	
100% of poverty	9.8	
200% of poverty	26.0	

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: NE

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Final

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	499,000.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	5.4
100% of poverty	11.2
200% of poverty	33.2

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

One Caucasian unknown ethnicity with missing age is not included in this form; demographics of live births.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 07A Field Name: Race_Women15 Row Name: Women < 15

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

One White woman with unknown age. She is note included in this form. Actual births in 2004 were 26,291 not 26,290. Once birth file is final should be able to include as well as categorize the 430 unknown race/ethnicity.

Section Number: Indicator 07B Field Name: Ethnicity_Women15 Row Name: Women < 15

> Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

One White woman with unknown age. She is note included in this form. Actual births in 2004 were 26,291 not 26,290. Once birth file is final should be able to include as well as categorize the 430 unknown race/ethnicity.

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_SingleParentPercent Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source US Census Bureau, 2003 American Community Survey Detailed Tables

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_MedicaidNo Row Name: Number enrolled in Medicaid

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Average monthly for 2004. Race/ethnicity are not mutually exclusive.

Medicaid = Title XIX +Title XXI

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace SCHIPNo Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Average monthly for 2004. Race/ethnicity are not mutually exclusive. SCHIP= Title XXI.

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source Nebraska Crime Commission. Data is not uniformly collected by ethnicity so, unavailable.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Nebraska Department of Education, 2003-2004. Race and ethnicity are mutually exclusive

Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source US Census Bureau, 2003 American Community Survey Detailed Tables

Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_TANFPercent Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Ethniicy is unknown is over 70% of the TANF children so any data will not be provided.

10. Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity_MedicaidNo Row Name: Number enrolled in Medicaid

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Average monthly for 2004. Race/ethnicity are not mutually exclusive.

Medicaid = Title XIX +Title XXI

Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity_SCHIPNo Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006

Field Note:

Average monthly for 2004. Race/ethnicity are not mutually exclusive.

SCHIP= Title XXI

12. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Ethnicity not collected

13. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_total Row Name: Total Population

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: US Census Bureau, Current Population Survey (CPS) 2004 Poverty Tables.

14. Section Number: Indicator 11 **Field Name:** S11_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Those below 100%. Census projection data for 2002-2003. Census recommends reporting in 2-year rolling averages.

Section Number: Indicator 11
 Field Name: S11_100percent
 Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Those 100-199%. Census projection data for 2002-2003. Census recommends reporting in 2-year rolling averages.

16. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Those 200% and above. Census projection data for 2002-2003. Census recommends reporting in 2-year rolling averages.

 Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_Children

Row Name: Children 0 through 19 years old

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: US Census Bureau, Current Population Survey (CPS) 2004 Poverty Tables.

 Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_FosterCare

Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Children in out-of- home care; State Ward as 12/2004.

Section Number: Indicator 09B
 Field Name: HSIEthnicity_FosterCare
 Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Children in out-of- home care; State Ward as 12/2004.

NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: NE

SP # 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent women (18-44) with healthy weight (BMI)

GOAL Reduce overweight and obesity for Nebraska's women, youth, and children

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The estimated percent (weighted data set)of women 18-44 in the state who reported a BMI less than 25 during the

reporting period.

Denominator:

The estimated number (weighted data set)of women in the state who are age 18-44 in the reporting period.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE Object

Objective 19-01 Healthly Weight in Adults; 60%

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The Nebraska Behavior Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) will provide state level data annually. BRFSS surveys

adults 18 and older. Due to data limitations women age 18-44 are a proxy for women of childbearing age

Nebraska women, youth, and children are heavier than the nation and HP2010 objectives. Overweigh and obesity lead to unhealthy quality of life. Consequences include poor birth outcomes, mental health problems, diabetes, hypertension, and

cardiovascular disease.

OBJECTIVE

SIGNIFICANCE

 2006
 2007
 2008
 2009
 2010

 54.25
 55.8
 57
 58.3
 59.75

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women of child-bearing age who report smoking in the last 30 days

GOAL To promote healthy lifestyles in women of child-bearing age

DEFINITION

Numerator: The estimated percent(weighted data set) of women 18-44 in the state who reported smoking on the Nebraska BRFSS

Denominator:

The estimated number (weighted data set)of women in the state who are age 18-44 in the reporting period.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE Objective 27-01 Cigarette Smoking Adults; 12%

The Nebraska Behavior Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) will provide state level data annually. BRFSS surveys **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

adults 18 and older. Due to data limitations women age 18-44 are a proxy for women of childbearing age

Healthy living among women of child-bearing age increases the chances of healthy birth outcomes, as well as the quality of life in women and their children. Abstinence from smoking and alcohol use, as well as healthy eating and exercise, all **SIGNIFICANCE**

contribute to a healthy lifestyle.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 19.3 17.5 15.6 13.8

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women age (18-44) who report mental health not good 10+ days of past 30

GOAL To begin monitoring the mental health of women in Nebraska.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The estimated percent (weighted data set) of women 18-44 in the state who reported 10+ days during the past 30 where

mental health was not good. (depression, stress, and problems with emotions)

Denominator:

The estimated number (weighted data set)of women in the state who are age 18-44 in the reporting period.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE Focus Area 18 Mental Health and Mental Disorders

The objectives that come the closest are 18-06 Mental Health Screening /Assessment with no target set and 18-09a

Treatment for recognized depression; adults with a target of 50%.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The Nebraska Behavior Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) will provide state level data annually. BRFSS surveys

adults 18 and older. Due to data limitations women age 18-44 are a proxy for women of childbearing age.

Mental Health efects all of the MCH populations causing unknown loss of potential. Nebraska is attempting to conduct better

assessment and monitoring of mental health including perinatal depression and CSHCN.

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 13.1 12.3 11.6 10.8 10

DATA SOURCE
SIGNIFICANCE
OBJECTIVE

SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of teens who report use of alcohol in last 30 days

To promote healthy lifestyles among youth GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The estimated number of high school students in the state who reported consuming alcohol in the last 30 days during the

reporting period.

Denominator:

The estimated number (weighted data of high school students in the state.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Objective 26-10a

Adolescents not using alcohol or illicit drugs in past 30 days(aged 12 to 17 years.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES The Youth Risk Behavior Survey is the source for this data and is administered bi-annually. A number of large, urban

45.6 44.7 43.8 42.9 42

schools with students in grades 9-12 chose not to participate in the YRBS. In those large schools that did not participate, a larger than expected number of students did not complete the survey. This means that these survey results for grades 9-12 provide an important description of the priority health risk behaviors of the survey participants. The total sample, however, is not truly representative of the state's grade 9-12 students because it under-represents Nebraska's urban adolescents.

Alcohol is the most commonly used drug in Nebraska among teens. Alcohol increases the likelihood of sexual activity, exposing youth to STDs and HIV. Alcohol is responsible for a high percentage of teen motor vehicle accidents, and has a

strong influence on morbidity and mortality.

OBJECTIVE ||2006||2007||2008||2009||2010|

Page 95 of 102

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent premature births (births<37 weeks)

GOAL To reduce prematurity

DEFINITION

SIGNIFICANCE **OBJECTIVE**

Numerator:

The number of births that occur before 37 weeks gestation in the state in the reporting period.

The total number of births in the state during the reporting year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

9.1 8.7 8.4 8

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Objective 16-11a Total preterm births; 7.6%

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Birth certificate data in the NHHSS Vital Records provides information on live births.

Prematurity is a serious, common and costly problem that is incresing in the state. Nebraska would like to reduce pematurity in conjunction with reducing low birth weight and infant death.

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

Page 96 of 102

SP#____6

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Rate of infant death to adolescents (age 15-17)

GOAL To reduce poor birth outcomes to adolescents

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The number of infant deaths to adolescent women (age 15-17) in the state during the reporting period.

Denominator

The number of births to adolescent women (age 15-17) in the state during the reporting period.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Objective 16-01c All Infant deaths (within 1 year, per 1,000); 4.5

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Birth certificate data linked with death certificates data is provided by NHHSS Vital Records.

Infants born to teenage mothers are at higher risk of being born low birth weight and have a higher mortality rate. Reducing

Infant mortaily remains a high priroity.

OBJECTIVE

 2006
 2007
 2008
 2009
 2010

 8.7
 7.7
 6.6
 5.6
 4.5

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Incidence of confirmed SIDS cases (per 1,000 live births) among African American and Native American infants

GOAL To further reduce infant deaths in Nebraska due to SIDS

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of investigated and confirmed cases of SIDS deaths among African American and Native American infants

(combined)

Denominator:

Number of live births among African American and Native American infants (combined)

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Objective 16-01h SIDS Deaths; 0.25/1,000

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Birth certificate data in NDHHS vital records provide information on live births among infants by racial/ethnic background. Because numbers are very small for this measure, a 5-year average is used to provide indicator data. Data collected by Nebraska Child Death Review Team is used to determine the number of investigated and confirmed cases of SIDS deaths among all infants, and is available by racial/ethnic background. It is important to note that child death investigations in rural and small urban counties in the state may be inconsistent with investigations in large urban counties. Individual law enforcement investigators in Lincoln and Omaha have participated in numerous child death scene investigations, whereas

their colleagus in smaller communities may not have encountered one in many years.

SIGNIFICANCE While the incidence of SIDS in Nebraska has decreased by over 50% since the inception of the "Back To Sleep" campaign

in 1994, the rate of SIDS deaths among African American and Native American infants in the state still remains over three

times that of white infants .

OBJECTIVE

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
2.5	1.9	1.4	8.0	0.25

SP #____8

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of African American women beginning prenatal care during the first trimester.

GOAL To improve the birth outcomes of African American women.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of African American beginning prenatal care during the first trimester

Denominator:

Number of African American women giving birth

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE Objective 16-06a Prenatal care beginning in the first trimester; 90%

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Nebraska Vital Statistics

SIGNIFICANCE Early entry into prenatal care results in improved birth outcomes.

OBJECTIVE

 2006
 2007
 2008
 2009
 2010

 73.5
 75
 76.5
 78
 79.6

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Hospitalization for unintentional injuries (per 1,000) for children and adolescents

GOAL Reduce unintentional injuries in the state

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of hospital discharges for unintentional injuries for the ages 1-19 during the reporting year

Number of children and adolescents age 1-19 in the state during the reporting year.

Units: 1000 Text: 2

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Hospital Discharge data is generally provided in the fall after the annual report is due.

Unitential Injuries are a leading casue of hospitalization and death for these age groups. Therefore, greatly affecting quality of life for children, youth and thier families.

OBJECTIVE

SIGNIFICANCE

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 85.1 84.9 84.7 84.6 84.4

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Hospitalization for intentional injuries (per 100,000) for children (age 1-10)

GOAL Reduce rates of child abuse, neglect, and intentional injuries of children

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of hospital discharges for unintentional injuries for the ages 1-10 during the reporting year

Denominator:Number of children age 1-10 in the state during the reporting year.

Units: 100000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Hospital Discharge data is generally provided in the fall after the annual report is due.

SIGNIFICANCE **OBJECTIVE**

Child abuse cases reported and substantiated are increasing in Nebraska. Violent and sexual abuse scares for life.

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
1.6	1.59	1.59	1.58	1.58